

# Kentucky First Federal Bancorp

Parent company of First Federal Savings and Loan Association of Hazard and First Federal Savings Bank of Frankfort

2012 Annual Report

### KENTUCKY FIRST FEDERAL BANCORP

Kentucky First Federal Bancorp ("Kentucky First" or the "Company") was formed under federal law in March 2005 and is the holding company for First Federal Savings and Loan Association of Hazard, Hazard, Kentucky ("First Federal of Hazard") and First Federal Savings Bank of Frankfort, Frankfort, Kentucky ("First Federal of Frankfort") (collectively, the "Banks"). Kentucky First's operations consist primarily of operating the Banks as two independent, community-oriented savings institutions.

First Federal of Hazard is a federally chartered savings and loan association offering traditional financial services to consumers in Perry and surrounding counties in eastern Kentucky. First Federal of Hazard engages primarily in the business of attracting deposits from the general public and using such funds to originate, when available, loans secured by first mortgages on owner-occupied, residential real estate and, occasionally, other loans secured by real estate. To the extent there is insufficient loan demand in its market area, and where appropriate under its investment policies, First Federal of Hazard has historically invested in mortgage-backed and other securities, although since the reorganization, First Federal of Hazard has been purchasing whole loans and participations in loans originated at First Federal of Frankfort.

First Federal of Frankfort is a federally chartered savings bank which is primarily engaged in the business of attracting deposits from the general public and the origination primarily of adjustable-rate loans secured by first mortgages on owner-occupied and non-owner-occupied one-to four-family residences in Franklin, Anderson, Scott, Shelby, Woodford and other counties in Kentucky. First Federal of Frankfort also originates, to a lesser extent, home equity loans and loans secured by churches, multi-family properties, professional office buildings and other types of property.

### MARKET INFORMATION

The Company's common stock began trading under the symbol "KFFB" on the Nasdaq National Market on March 3, 2005. There are currently 7,725,703 shares of common stock outstanding and approximately 645 holders of record of the common stock. Following are the high and low closing prices, by fiscal quarter, as reported on the Nasdaq National Market during the periods indicated, as well as dividends declared on the common stock during each quarter.

**Dividends** 

	High	Low	Per Share
Fiscal 2012			
First quarter	\$ 9.18	\$ 6.10	\$ 0.10
Second quarter	9.24	6.08	0.10
Third quarter	9.26	8.76	0.10
Fourth quarter	9.24	7.35	0.10
			Dividends
	High	Low	Per Share
Fiscal 2011			
First quarter	\$ 10.40	\$ 7.95	\$ 0.10
I list quarter	Ψ 10.10		
	10.38	9.00	0.10
Second quarter	<b>-</b>	9.00 8.40	0.10 0.10

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Kentucky First Federal Bancorp	(ii)
Market Information	(ii)
Letter to Shareholders	
Selected Consolidated Financial and Other Data	
Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	
Consolidated Financial Statements	28
Corporate Information	

SEC Mail Processing Section

OCT 1 6 2012

Washington DC 402



#### Dear Shareholder:

We are pleased to present the 2012 Annual Report for Kentucky First Federal Bancorp. We encourage you to read both the Annual Report and Proxy Statement. We strongly encourage you to vote and, if possible, to attend our annual meeting on November 15, 2012.

The Boards, Officers, and Employees of the Company and its subsidiary banks continue to be very pleased with our arrangement under Kentucky First Federal. We believe that we have continued to demonstrate to our communities that our primary focus continues to be meeting the financial needs of our hometowns. We had a strong year with earnings slightly below the 2011 level—which was primarily the result of a tax refund pushing the prior year's earnings up. We continue to be concerned about asset quality, although no large concentrated problems have developed, other than the continuing struggles of the coal industry in eastern Kentucky and its effect on the Hazard economy. We enjoy a strong net interest margin at the moment, although the interest rate market will eventually change. And we are particularly concerned about our ability to maintain our loan balances and originate new loans in the face of record low lending rates.

We are not pleased with our current stock price and hope that financial stocks will eventually be looked upon somewhat more favorably than the perspective wrought by the recent round of poor earnings, regulatory excess, and overall poor perception of the industry as a safe investment.

On November 3, 2011, we announced plans to merge with Central Kentucky Federal, the oldest thrift charter in Kentucky and community-based institution serving the Boyle County (Danville) and Garrard County (Lancaster) communities of the bluegrass region. We are confident that our alignment with the experienced management and talented staff of Central Kentucky Federal will help us to build on the successful partnership we began back in 2005 when Hazard and Frankfort joined forces. The merger has not been consummated as of this mailing as we work with regulators to obtain the proper approvals. However, our staffs have made significant progress on the assimilation of Central Kentucky Federal into our company.

Another milestone from the year was the announcement on August 23 of the approval of a dividend waiver by a majority of First Federal MHC members. The ability to waive dividends was a key part of our operating strategy when this company was formed in 2005. A regulatory change made the waiver much harder to obtain, but our MHC took the bold step of holding what we believe was the first member vote in the country to approve a dividend waiver. Although we are still waiting for final regulatory approval, I cannot fully express my thanks for the work of our staff in Hazard to help explain this complicated issue to the members so that they could cast informed votes.

On August 23, I officially announced my retirement from day-to-day activity. I will still serve as Chairman of the Company and of the Hazard Bank Board, and I will continue to mentor our management teams in Frankfort and in Hazard. However, I will spend less time in the office and more time with my family. After 40 years in banking, 15 years in Hazard, and the last 7 years with KFFB, I could not have imagined a more fulfilling career, but it's time to move forward. I appreciate all the support I've received from the bank customers and shareholders and enjoyed every opportunity we've had to talk about our business.

The new management team has my full confidence. Don Jennings will step into my role as CEO. Don has been President and Chief Operating Officer since the company was founded and before that was the President and CEO of Frankfort First Bancorp. Clay Hulette will maintain his role as Vice President Chief Financial Officer. Effective with the merger, Bill Johnson and Russell Brooks will assume roles as Vice President of the company with Clay and

Bill serving, respectively, as Frankfort Area President and Danville-Lancaster Area President for First Federal Savings Bank. As she assumes the presidency of First Federal of Hazard, Lou Ella Farler will rely on a wealth of experience with the bank as well as a lifetime of residency in Hazard. I have no doubt that they will continue to run our banks in a safe and profitable manner and continue to value the trust you have placed in us by virtue of your investment. Please call any of us with any problems or questions—we would be glad to hear from you.

Sincerely,

Tony Whitaker

# SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL AND OTHER DATA

### **Selected Financial Condition Data**

_	At June 30,								
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008				
	· ·	(In thousands)							
Total assets	\$222,949	\$226,135	\$236,939	\$240,901	\$247,655				
Cash and cash equivalents	5,735	5,049	8,362	4,217	15,966				
Interest-bearing deposits	100	100	100	100	100				
Securities held to maturity	4,756	6,810	9,435	14,999	16,959				
Securities available for sale	189	203	246	5,451	5,480				
Loans, net	182,473	182,796	190,618	188,931	182,051				
Deposits	134,552	139,940	144,969	139,743	137,634				
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	27,065	25,261	32,009	40,156	47,801				
Shareholders' equity	58,853	58,697	57,808	58,538	60,017				
Allowance for loan losses	875	764	1,535	678	666				
nonaccrual)	1,794	876	7,783	3,872	1,277				

## **Selected Operating Data**

	Year Ended June 30,									
	2	012		2011		2010		2009		2008
		(Do	llars	in thousa	nds	, except p	er s	hare data	a)	
Total interest income	\$	10,156	\$	10,749	\$	11,378	\$	12,226	\$	13,087
Total interest expense		2,179		3,181		4,980		5,902		7,565
Net interest income		7,977		7,568		6,398		6,324		5,522
Provision for losses on loans		139		668		1,044		46		12
Net interest income after provision										
for losses on loans		7,838		6,900		5,354		6,278		5,510
Total non-interest income		140		242		289		241		182
Total non-interest expenses		5,423		5,282		5,039		4,608		4,321
Income before federal income taxes		2,555		1,860		604		1,911		1,371
Federal income taxes		840		104		203		1,183		439
Net income	\$	1,715	\$	1,756	\$	401	\$	728	\$	932
Net earnings per share – basic	\$	0.23	\$	0.23	\$	0.05	\$	0.10	\$	0.12
Net earnings per share – diluted.	\$	0.23	\$	0.23	\$	0.05		0.10	\$	0.12
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$	0.40	\$	0.40	\$	0.40	\$	0.40	\$	0.40

### Selected Financial Ratios and Other Data (1)

	Year Ended June 30,						
<del>-</del>	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008		
Performance Ratios:							
Return on average assets							
(net income divided by average total assets)	0.75%	0.75%	0.17%	0.30%	0.35%		
Return on average equity							
(net income divided by average equity)	2.91	3.04	0.69	1.23	1.53		
Interest rate spread							
(combined weighted average interest rate earned less							
combined weighted average interest rate cost)	3.68	3.29	2.46	2.29	1.65		
Net interest margin							
(net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets)	3.93	3.61	2.96	2.87	2.29		
Ratio of average interest-earning assets to average							
interest-bearing liabilities	123.34	121.00	121.62	121.53	120.28		
Ratio of total general administrative and other expenses to							
average total assets	2.39	2.26	2.11	1.90	1.64		
Efficiency ratio (1)	66.81	67.63	75.36	70.19	75.75		
Dividend payout ratio (2)	93.53	63.58	283.29	160.58	126.82		
Asset Quality Ratios:							
Nonperforming loans as a percent of total loans							
at end of period (3)	0.98	0.48	4.05	2.05	0.70		
Nonperforming assets as a percent of total assets							
at end of period (3)	1.90	2.29	3.60	1.65	0.52		
Allowance for loan losses as a percent of total loans	1.50	2.29	2.00	1.05	0.32		
at end of period	0.48	0.42	0.80	0.36	0.36		
Allowance for loan losses as a percent of nonperforming	0.10	0.72	0.00	0.50	0.50		
loans at end of period	48.77	87.21	19.72	17.51	52.15		
Provision for loan losses to total loans	0.08	0.36	0.54	0.02			
					0.01		
Net charge-offs to average loans outstanding	0.02	0.76	0.10	0.02	0.04		
Capital Ratios:							
Average equity to average assets	25.91	24.76	24.27	24.40	23.03		
Shareholders' equity or capital to total assets	23.91	24.70	24.27	24.40	23.03		
± • •	26.40	25.06	24.40	24.20	24.22		
at end of period	26.40	25.96	24.40	24.30	24.23		
Regulatory Capital Ratios:							
Tangible capital	21.27	20.85	19.39	17.48	16.33		
	21.27	20.85	19.39	17.48	16.33		
Core capital	38.57						
Risk-based capital		39.01	37.35	34.34	34.03		
Number of banking offices	4	4	4	4	4		

<sup>(1)</sup> Efficiency ratio represents the ratio of non-interest expenses divided by the sum of net interest income and total non-interest income.

<sup>(2)</sup> Represents dividends paid as a percent of net earnings. Dividends paid does not include dividends waived by First Federal MHC. In May 2012 dividends of \$473,000 were paid to First Federal MHC.

<sup>(3)</sup> Nonperforming loans consist of nonaccrual loans, accruing loans greater than 90 days delinquent, and restructured loans not performing according to their revised terms, while nonperforming assets consist of nonperforming loans and real estate acquired through foreclosure.

# MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

References in this Annual Report to "we," "us," and "our" refer to Kentucky First Federal Bancorp and where appropriate, collectively to Kentucky First Federal Bancorp, First Federal of Hazard and First Federal of Frankfort.

### Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements contained in this Annual Report that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements that are subject to certain risks and uncertainties. When used herein, the terms "anticipates," "plans," "expects," "believes," and similar expressions as they relate to the Company or its management are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. The Company's actual results, performance or achievements may materially differ from those expressed or implied in the forward-looking statements. Risks and uncertainties that could cause or contribute to such material differences include, but are not limited to, general economic conditions, prices for real estate in the Company's market areas, interest rate environment, competitive conditions in the financial services industry, changes in law, governmental policies and regulations, rapidly changing technology affecting financial services and the risk factors described in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2012. We wish to caution readers not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date made. We wish to advise readers that the factors listed above could affect our financial performance and could cause our actual results for future periods to differ materially from any opinions or statements expressed with respect to future periods in any current statements.

We do not undertake, and specifically disclaim any obligation, to publicly release the result of any revisions which may be made to any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of such statements or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events.

#### General

The Company was incorporated as a mid-tier holding company under the laws of the United States on March 2, 2005 upon the completion of the reorganization of First Federal of Hazard into a federal mutual holding company form of organization (the "Reorganization"). On that date, Kentucky First completed its minority stock offering and issued a total of 8,596,064 shares of common stock, of which 4,727,938 shares, or 55%, were issued to First Federal MHC, a federally chartered mutual holding company formed in connection with the Reorganization, in exchange for the transfer of all of First Federal of Hazard's capital stock, and 2,127,572 shares were sold at a cash price of \$10.00 per share. On such date, Kentucky First completed its acquisition of Frankfort First and its wholly owned subsidiary, First Federal of Frankfort (the "Merger"). First Federal of Hazard and First Federal of Frankfort are operated as two independent savings institutions with separate charters. Each bank retains its own management and boards of directors. The members of management of Kentucky First also serve in a management capacity at one of the two subsidiary Banks, and the directors of Kentucky First also serve on the board of one of the two subsidiary Banks.

Our results of operations are dependent primarily on net interest income, which is the difference between the income earned on our loans and securities and our cost of funds, consisting of the interest paid on deposits and borrowings. Results of operations are also affected by the provision for losses on loans and service charges and fees collected on our deposit accounts. Our general, administrative and other expense primarily consists of employee compensation and benefits expense, occupancy and equipment expense, data processing expense, other operating expenses and state franchise and federal income taxes. Results of operations are also significantly affected by general economic and competitive conditions, particularly changes in interest rates, government policies and actions of regulatory authorities.

*Income.* We have two primary sources of pre-tax income. The first is net interest income, which is the difference between interest income, the income that we earn on our loans and investments, and interest expense, the interest that we pay on our deposits and borrowings.

To a much lesser extent, we also recognize pre-tax income from fee and service charges, which is the compensation we receive from providing financial products and services.

**Expenses.** The expenses we incur in operating our business consist of compensation, taxes and benefits, office occupancy, data processing fees, taxes and other expenses.

Compensation, taxes and benefits consist primarily of the salaries and wages paid to our employees and directors, payroll taxes and expenses for retirement and other employee benefits.

Office occupancy expenses, which are the fixed and variable costs of buildings and equipment, consist primarily of taxes, depreciation charges, maintenance and costs of utilities.

Data processing fees primarily include fees paid to our third-party data processing providers.

Taxes consist of the current and deferred portion of federal income taxes as well as franchise taxes paid to the Commonwealth of Kentucky by the subsidiary Banks.

Other expenses include expenses for attorneys, accountants and consultants, advertising, telephone, employee training and education, charitable contributions, insurance, office supplies, postage and other miscellaneous operating activities.

#### **Critical Accounting Policies**

Our accounting and reporting policies comply with U.S. GAAP and conform to general practices within the banking industry. We believe that of our significant accounting policies, the following may involve a higher degree of management assumptions and judgments that could result in materially different amounts to be reported if conditions or underlying circumstances were to change.

#### Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is the estimated amount considered necessary to cover probably incurred credit losses in the loan portfolio at the balance sheet date. The allowance is established through the provision for losses on loans, which is charged against income.

The management and the Boards of the Company and of First Federal of Hazard and First Federal of Frankfort review the allowance for loan losses on a quarterly basis. Consideration is given to a variety of factors in establishing this estimate including, but not limited to, current economic conditions, delinquency statistics, geographic and industry concentrations, the adequacy of the underlying collateral, the financial strength of the borrower, results of internal loan reviews, volume and mix of the loan portfolio and other relevant factors. This evaluation is inherently subjective, as it requires material estimates that may be susceptible to change. Management considers the economic climate in the Banks' respective lending areas to be among the factors most likely to have an impact on the level of the required allowance for loan losses.

Management continues to monitor and evaluate factors which could have an impact on the required level of the allowance. Management watches for national issues that may negatively affect a significant percentage of homeowners in the Banks' lending areas. These may include significant increases in unemployment or significant depreciation in home prices. Management reviews employment statistics periodically when determining the allowance for loan losses and generally finds the unemployment rates in both lending areas to be high in relation to historical trends. Management has no current plans to alter the type of lending or collateral currently offered, but if such plans change or market conditions result in large concentrations of certain types of loans, such as commercial real estate or high loan-to-value ratio residential loans, management would respond with an increase in the overall allowance for loan losses.

The analysis has two components, specific and general allocations. Loans are classified as either homogenous or other. Homogenous loans are analyzed in the aggregate according to various criteria. Non-homogenous loans receive additional scrutiny and are classified as impaired or unimpaired. Specific allocations are made for loans that are determined to be impaired. Impairment is measured by determining the present value of expected future cash flows or, for collateral-dependent loans, the fair value of the collateral adjusted for market conditions and selling expenses. A loan is considered to be collateral-dependent when the circumstances of the borrower indicate that we can no longer rely upon the overall financial strength of that borrower to comply with the terms of the loan and that the loan will likely be repaid in whole or in part by proceeds from the sale of the collateral. Updated independent appraisals are ordered in most situations where management has determined to evaluate a loan for impairment. The general allocation is determined by segregating the remaining loans by type of loan, risk weighting (if applicable) and payment history. We also analyze historical loss experience, delinquency trends, general economic conditions and geographic and industry concentrations. This analysis establishes factors that are applied to the loan groups to determine the amount of the general reserve. Actual loan losses may be significantly more than the allowances we have established and, if so, this could have a material negative effect on our financial results.

#### Goodwill

We test goodwill for impairment at least annually and more frequently, if circumstances indicate its value may not be recoverable. We test goodwill for impairment by comparing the fair value of the reporting unit to the book value of the reporting unit. If the fair value, net of goodwill, exceeds book value, then goodwill is not considered to be impaired. Based on the annual goodwill impairment test as of March 31, 2012, management does not believe any of the goodwill is impaired Different conditions or assumptions, or changes in cash flows or profitability, if significantly negative or unfavorable, could have a material adverse effect on the outcome of the impairment evaluation and financial condition or future results of operations.

#### **Deferred Tax Asset**

We evaluate deferred tax assets quarterly. We will realize this asset to the extent profitable or carry back tax losses to periods in which we paid income taxes. Our determination of the realization of the deferred tax asset will be based upon management's judgment of various future events and uncertainties, including the timing and amount of future income we will earn and the implementation of various tax plans to maximize realization of the deferred tax assets. Management believes the Company will generate sufficient operating earnings to realize the deferred tax benefits. Examinations of our income tax returns or changes in tax law may impact the tax liabilities and resulting provisions for income taxes.

#### **Our Operating Strategy**

Our mission is to operate and grow profitable, community-oriented financial institutions serving primarily retail customers in our market areas. We plan to pursue a strategy of:

- operating two community-oriented savings institutions, First Federal of Hazard, which serves
  customers in Perry and surrounding counties in eastern Kentucky, and First Federal of Frankfort,
  which serves customers primarily in Franklin County and surrounding counties in central
  Kentucky. Each Bank emphasizes traditional thrift activities of accepting deposits and originating
  residential mortgage loans for portfolio;
- continuing our historic heavy reliance on our deposit base to fund our lending and investment
  activities and to supplement deposits with Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati ("FHLB")
  advances when advantageous or necessary. We expect our projected deposit mix to generally
  retain its existing composition of passbook, transaction and certificate of deposit accounts;
- gradually pursuing opportunities to increase and diversify lending in our market areas;
- applying conservative underwriting practices to maintain the high quality of our loan portfolios;

- managing our net interest margin and interest rate risk; and
- entertaining possibilities of expansion into other markets through branching or acquisition, if such possibilities are beneficial to the Company's shareholders, provide a good fit within the Company's mutual holding company framework and can be accomplished without undue encumbrance of the Company's other operational areas. In November 2011 we entered into an agreement to acquire CKF Bancorp, Inc., with two offices in Danville, KY and one office in Lancaster, KY.

#### **Market Risk Analysis**

Qualitative Aspects of Market Risk. Our most significant form of market risk is interest rate risk. We manage the interest rate sensitivity of our interest-bearing liabilities and interest-earning assets in an effort to minimize the adverse effects of changes in the interest rate environment. Deposit accounts typically react more quickly to changes in market interest rates than mortgage loans because of the shorter maturities of deposits. As a result, sharp increases in interest rates may adversely affect our earnings while decreases in interest rates may beneficially affect our earnings. To reduce the potential volatility of our earnings, we have sought to improve the match between asset and liability maturities (or rate adjustment periods), while maintaining an acceptable interest rate spread. Still, when market rates increase rapidly, increases in the cost of deposits and borrowings outpace the increases in the return on assets. The Company's assets are primarily comprised of adjustable rate mortgages (all of which have some contractual limits in their ability to react to market changes) and short-term securities. Those assets will, over time, re-price to counteract the increased costs of deposits and borrowings.

Asset/Liability Management. Management and the boards of the subsidiary Banks are responsible for the asset/liability management issues that affect the individual Banks. Either Bank may work with its sister Bank to mitigate potential asset/liability risks to the Banks and to the Company as a whole. Prior to 2012 interest rate risk was monitored using model results produce by the Office of Thrift Supervision ("OTS") Net Portfolio Value ("NPV"). NPV represents the fair value of portfolio equity and is equal to the fair value of assets minus the fair value of liabilities, with adjustments made for off-balance sheet items. For periods after December 31, 2011, the OTS model is no longer available. Management utilizes a third-party to perform interest rate risk calculations for both Banks on a consolidated basis, because the results more accurately reflect the unitary management philosophy with regard to the assets, liabilities and earnings streams of the Banks. Management monitors and considers methods of managing the rate sensitivity and repricing characteristics of the Banks' consolidated balance sheet components in an effort to maintain acceptable levels of change in the economic value of equity ("EVE") as well as evaluating the impact on earnings in the event of changes in prevailing market interest rates. Interest rate sensitivity analysis is used to measure our interest rate risk by computing estimated changes in EVE that are a result of changes in the net present value of its cash flows from assets, liabilities, and off-balance sheet items. These changes in cash flow are estimated based on hypothetical instantaneous and permanent increases and decreases in market interest rates.

Because the interest rates at June 30, 2012, are practically zero, we believe our risk was associated with rising interest rates is minimal. The model indicated that at June 30, 2012, in the event of a sudden and sustained increase in prevailing market interest rates of 300 basis points, our EVE would be expected to decrease \$8.1 million or 13.6% to \$51.0 million, at which level our fair value of equity to fair value of tangible assets would be expected to be 24.3% and our fair value to equity to fair value of risk-weighted assets would be expected to be 45.6%. The projected decrease in EVE in the event of a sudden and sustained 300 basis point increase in prevailing interest rates is within the parameters established by each subsidiary Bank's Board of Directors. Computations or prospective effects of hypothetical interest rate changes are based on numerous assumptions, including relative levels of market interest rates, loan prepayments, and deposit run-offs. These computations should not be relied upon as indicative of actual results. Further, the computations do not contemplate any actions the Banks may undertake in response to changes in interest rates. Certain shortcomings are inherent in this method of computing EVE. For example, although certain assets and liabilities may have similar maturities or periods to repricing, they may react in differing degrees to changes in market interest rates. The interest rates on certain types of assets and liabilities may fluctuate in advance of changes in market interest rates, while interest rates on other types may lag behind changes in market rates.

#### Statement of Financial Condition

General. At June 30, 2012, total assets were \$222.9 million, a decrease of \$3.2 million, or 1.4%, from the \$226.1 million total at June 30, 2011. The decrease in total assets was comprised primarily of decreases in investment securities held-to-maturity and other real estate owned. At June 30, 2012, total liabilities were \$164.1 million, a decrease of \$3.3 million, or 2.0%, from total liabilities at June 30, 2011. The decrease in total liabilities was comprised primarily of decreases in deposits.

Loans. Our primary lending activity is the origination of loans for the purchase, refinance or construction of one- to four-family residential real estate located in our market areas. As opportunities arise, we also originate church loans, commercial real estate loans, and multi-family and nonresidential real estate loans. At June 30, 2012, one- to four- family residential real estate loans totaled \$149.1 million, or 81.1% of total loans, compared to \$158.8 million, or 86.4% of total loans, at June 30, 2011. The decrease in our one- to four-family residential real estate loans was attributed to some customers opting for long-term, fixed rate loans, which we sell to the FHLB of Cincinnati and some customers refinancing to lower rates offered by our competitors. Construction real estate loans totaled \$964,000, or 0.5% of total loans, at June 30, 2012, compared to \$1.1 million, or 0.6% of total loans at June 30, 2011. At June 30, 2012, multi-family real estate loans totaled \$15.5 million, or 8.4% of total loans, compared to \$4.5 million or 2.5% of total loans at June 30, 2011, and nonresidential real estate and other loans totaled \$11.1 million, or 6.0% of total loans at June 30, 2012, compared to \$12.2 million, or 6.6% of total loans, at June 30, 2011. The increase in multi-family real estate is due primarily to two credits involving relatively large rental operations, borrowers who are financially strong and relatively low loan-to-value ratios. Also contributing to the increase in multi-family real estate loans is the sale of one parcel of other real estate owned. The increase in multi-family real estate does not signal an intentional shift in our lending composition, but rather is a response to the way the market is rebounding from the recent recession. We believe that, before demand returns for owneroccupied housing, rents must firm up, which will eventually lead consumers to choose to buy versus rent. We also originate consumer loans, comprised of home equity lines of credit and loans secured by deposit accounts, which totaled \$7.1 million, or 4.0% of total loans at June 30, 2012, compared to consumer loans of \$7.2 million or 3.9% of total loans at June 30, 2011.

The following table sets forth the composition of our loan portfolio at the dates indicated.

	At June 30,											
	20	12	20	2011		2010		2009		08		
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent		
				()	Dollars in	thousands	s)					
Real estate loans:												
One- to four-family	\$149,086	81.1%	\$158,821	86.4%	\$165,818	86.0%	\$163,108	85.8%	\$158,007	86.1%		
Construction	964	0.5%	1,062	0.6%	1,916	0.9%	735	0.4%	3,528	1.9%		
Multi-family	15,495	8.4%	4,504	2.5%	6,689	3.5%	7,303	3.8%	2,684	1.5%		
Nonresidential and other	11,098	6.0%	12,211	6.6%	10,943	5.7%	11,460	6.1%	11,318	6.2%		
Consumer:												
Consumer and other	4,865	2.7%	4,824	2.6%	4,802	2.5%	4,497	2.4%	4,503	2.5%		
Loans on deposits	2,281	1.3%	2,405	1.3%	2,754	1.4%	2,909	1.5%	3,384	1.8%		
Total loans	183,789	100%	183,827	100%	192,922	100%	190,012	100%	183,424	100%		
Allowance for loan losses	(875)		(764)		(1,535)		(678)		(666)			
Undisbursed construction loans	(544)		(353)		(631)		(404)		(696)			
Deferred loan origination costs (fees)	103		86		(138)		1		(11)			
Loans receivable, net	\$182,473		\$182,796		\$190,618		\$188,931		\$182,051			

The following table sets forth certain information at June 30, 2012 regarding the dollar amount of loans repricing or maturing during the periods indicated. The table does not include any estimate of prepayments which significantly shorten the average life of all loans and may cause our actual repayment experience to differ from that shown below. Demand loans having no stated maturity are reported as due in one year or less.

	Real Estate Loans		sumer ans	Total Loans
		(In thou	usands)	
One year or less	\$ 51,658	\$	7,146	\$ 58,804
More than one year to five years	64,821			64,821
More than five years	60,164			60,164
Total	\$176,643	\$	7,146	\$183,789

As of June 30, 2012, there were \$50.6 million fixed-rate and \$125.8 million adjustable-rate real estate loans maturing in more than a year.

The following table shows loan origination activity during the periods indicated.

	Year Ended June 30,							
	2012	2011	2010					
		(In thousands)						
Net loans at beginning of year	\$ 182,796	\$ 190,618	\$ 188,931					
Loans originated:								
Real estate loans:								
Residential	22,643	29,996	33,643					
Construction	286	1,324	1,277					
Multi-family	9,598	2,264	315					
Nonresidential and other	355	2,065	1,077					
Consumer loans	1,003	4,349	962					
Total loans originated	33,885	39,998	37,274					
Deduct:								
Real estate loan principal repayments and other	(33,984)	(43,935)	(33,644)					
Decrease (increase) in allowance	(111)	771	(857)					
Transfer to real estate acquired through foreclosure	(96)	(4,648)	(1,076)					
Other	(17)	(8)	(10)					
Net loan activity	(323)	(7,822)	1,687					
Net loans at end of period	\$ 182,473	\$ 182,796	\$ 190,618					

Allowance for Loan Losses and Asset Quality. The allowance for loan losses is a valuation allowance for the probable incurred losses in the loan portfolio. We evaluate the allowance for loan losses no less than quarterly. When additional allowances are needed a provision for losses on loans is charged against earnings. The recommendations for increases or decreases to the allowance are presented by management to the Banks' boards of directors. The Company's board of directors oversees the overall allowance level for the Company and may propose increases or decreases for allowance levels at the banks.

The allowance for loan losses is established to recognize the probable incurred losses associated with lending activities. Loss and risk factors are based on our historical loss experience and industry averages and are adjusted for significant factors that in management's judgment affect the collectibility of the portfolio as of the evaluation date. These significant factors may include changes in lending policies and procedures, changes in existing general economic and business conditions affecting our primary lending area, credit quality trends, collateral value, loan volumes and concentrations, seasoning of the loan portfolio, recent loss experience, duration of the current business cycle and bank regulatory examination results.

At June 30, 2012, the allowance for loan losses were \$875,000, or 0.48% of total loans, compared to \$764,000, or 0.42% of total loans at June 30, 2011. The allowance at June 30, 2012 included \$97,000 designated as specific reserves compared to \$55,000 designated as specific reserves at June 30, 2011. Such reserves are calculated when a non-homogenous loan is considered impaired. An impaired loan is one in which it is likely that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. All of the Company's loans are collateral-based, and in case of impairment, the loans are carried at the lower of cost or fair value less disposal costs.

Nonperforming loans, which consist of all loans 90 days or more past due and nonaccrual loans, totaled \$1.8 million at June 30, 2012 and \$876,000 at June 30, 2011, an increase of \$918,000. The increase was attributed to a cyclical movement as well as a localized economic downturn in one of our markets. At June 30, 2011 nonperforming loans hit their lowest point since June 30, 2008, due to a confluence of circumstances including various arrangements with borrowers and completion of the foreclosure cycle. Adding to this cyclical movement was an increase in substandard loans particularly among those loans originated in our Hazard market, where the local economy has been roiled by uncertainty in the coal industry. The new asset issue is primary related to individual consumers falling behind on their payments due to job loss, illness or the death of the borrower rather than a systemic decline across a particular asset category. The allowance for loan losses totaled 48.8% and 87.2% of nonperforming loans at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. In determining the allowance for loan losses at any point in time, management and the boards of directors of the subsidiary Banks apply a systematic process focusing on the risk of loss in the portfolio. First, the loan portfolio is segregated by loan types to be evaluated collectively and loan types to be evaluated individually. Delinquent multi-family and nonresidential loans are evaluated individually for potential impairment. Second, the allowance for loan losses is evaluated using historic loss experience adjusted for significant factors by applying these loss percentages to the loan types to be evaluated collectively in the portfolio. To the best of management's knowledge, all known and probable incurred losses that can be reasonably estimated have been recorded at June 30, 2012. Although management believes that its allowance for loan losses conforms with generally accepted accounting principles based upon the available facts and circumstances, there can be no assurance that additions to the allowance will not be necessary in future periods, which would adversely affect our results of operations.

Our banking regulators, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review our allowance for loan losses. The examinations may require us to make additional provisions for loan losses based on judgments different from ours. In addition, because further events affecting borrowers and collateral cannot be predicted with certainty, there can be no assurance that the existing allowance for loan losses is adequate or that increases will not be necessary should the quality of any loans deteriorate as a result of the factors discussed above. Any material increase in the allowance for loan losses may adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Summary of Loan Loss Experience. The following table sets forth an analysis of the allowance for loan losses for the periods indicated. Where specific loan loss allowances have been established, any difference between the loss allowance and the amount of loss realized has been charged or credited to the allowance.

	Year Ended June 30,								
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008				
		(Dollar	s in thousan	ds)					
Allowance at beginning of period	\$ 764	\$ 1,535	\$ 678	\$666	\$720				
Provision for loan losses	139	668	1,044	46	12				
Charge-offs: Real estate loans Consumer loans	(28)	(1,439)	(187)	(34)	(66)				
Total charge-offs	(28)	(1,439)	(187)	(34)	(66)				
Recoveries: Real estate loans Consumer loans Total recoveries	  								
Net charge-offs	(\$28)	(\$1,439)	(\$187)	(\$34)	(\$66)				
Allowance at end of period	\$ 875	\$ 764	\$1,535	\$678	\$666				
Allowance to nonperforming loans	48.8%	87.21%	19.72%	17.51%	52.15%				
end of period	0.48%	0.42%	0.80%	0.36%	0.36%				
during the period	0.02%	0.76%	0.10%	0.02%	0.04%				

The following table sets forth the breakdown of the allowance for loan losses by loan category, which management believes can be allocated on an approximate basis, at the dates indicated.

								At June 30,							
		2012			2011			2010			2009			2008	
	Amount	% of Allowance to Total Allowance	% of Loans in Category To Total Loans	Amount	% of Allowance to Total Allowance	% of Loans in Category To Total Loans (Dollars	_Amount in thousand	% of Allowance to Total Allowance	% of Loans in Category To Total Loans	Amount	% of Allowance to Total Allowance	% of Loans in Category To Total Loans	Amount	% of Allowance to Total Allowance	% of Loans in Category To Total Loans
Loans category:															
Residential	\$565	64.6%	81.1%	\$494	64.7%	86.4%	\$1,200	78.2%	86.0%	\$ 542	80.0%	85.8%	\$ 584	87.7%	86.1%
Construction	3	0.3	0.5	3	0.4	0.6	6	0.4	0.9	2	0.3	0.4	13	2.0	1.9
Multi-family	49	5.6	8.4	13	1.7	2.5	277	18.1	3.5	81	11.9	3.8	10	1.4	1.5
Nonresidential & other	35	4.0	6.0	34	4.4	6.6	36	2.3	5.7	38	5.6	6.1	42	6.3	6.2
Consumer and other	16	1.8	2.7	13	1.7	2.6	16	1.0	2.5	15	2.2	2.4	17	2.6	2.5
Loans secured by deposits	7	0.8	1.3	7	0.9	1.3									
Unallocated	200	22.9		200	26.2				1.4			1.5			1.8
Total allowance for loan losses	\$875	100%	100%	\$764	100%	100%	\$1,535	100.0%	100.0%	\$678	100.0%	100.0%	\$666	100.0%	100.0%

Nonperforming and Classified Assets. When a loan becomes 90 days delinquent, the loan may be placed on nonaccrual status at which time the accrual of interest ceases, the interest previously accrued to income is reversed and interest income is thereafter recognized on a cash basis. Payments on a nonaccrual loan are applied to the outstanding principal and interest as determined at the time of collection of the loan. In situations where management believes collection of interest due is likely even if the loan is more than 90 days delinquent, then management may decide not to place the loan on non-accrual status.

We consider repossessed assets and loans that are 90 days or more past due to be nonperforming assets. Real estate that we acquire as a result of foreclosure or by deed-in-lieu of foreclosure is classified as real estate owned until it is sold. When property is acquired it is recorded at fair market value less estimated selling costs at the date of foreclosure. Holding costs and declines in fair value after acquisition of the property are charged against income.

Under current accounting guidelines, a loan is defined as impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the creditor will be unable to collect all amounts due under the contractual terms of the loan agreement. We consider one- to four-family mortgage loans and deposit loans to be homogeneous and collectively evaluate them for impairment. Other loans are evaluated for impairment on an individual basis. At June 30, 2012, ten loans were considered impaired with valuations.

The following table provides information with respect to our nonperforming assets at the dates indicated. We did not have any non-performing troubled debt restructurings at any of the dates presented.

	Year Ended June 30,										
	2012		20	011	2010		2009		2008		
				(Dolla	ars i	n thousa	nds)				
Nonaccrual loans:											
Real estate loans	\$	1,593	\$	876	\$	7,671	\$	435	\$	666	
Consumer loans					_						
Total		1,593		876		7,671		435		666	
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more:											
Real estate loans		201				112		3,437		611	
Consumer loans											
Total of accruing loans past due 90		201									
days or more						112		3,437		611	
Restructured loans not performing as agreed											
Total nonperforming loans		1,794		876		7,783		3,872		1,277	
Real estate acquired through foreclosure		2,445		4,304		748		109		21	
Total nonperforming assets	\$_	4 ,239	\$	5,180	\$	8,531	\$	3,981	\$	1,298	
Total nonperforming loans to total loans		0.98%		0.48%		4.05%		2.05%		0.70%	
Total nonperforming loans to total assets		1.10%		0.39%		3.29%		1.61%		0.52%	
Total nonperforming assets to total assets		1.90%		2.29%		3.60%		1.65%		0.52%	

Interest income that would have been recorded for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, had nonaccrual loans been current according to their original terms amounted to \$148,000, and \$350,000, respectively. Income related to nonaccrual loans included in interest income for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 amounted to \$72,000, and \$137,000, respectively.

Federal regulations require us to regularly review and classify our assets. In addition, our regulators have the authority to identify problem assets and, if appropriate, require them to be classified. There are three classifications for problem assets: substandard, doubtful and loss. "Substandard assets" must have one or more defined weaknesses and are characterized by the distinct possibility that we will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. "Doubtful assets" have the weaknesses of substandard assets with the additional characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions and values questionable, and there is a high possibility of loss. An asset classified "loss" is considered uncollectible and of such little value that continuance as an asset of the institution is not warranted. The regulations also provide for a "special mention" category, described as assets which do not currently expose us to a sufficient degree of risk to warrant classification but do possess credit deficiencies or potential weaknesses deserving our close attention. Special mention assets totaled \$331,000 and \$335,000 at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The following table shows the aggregate amounts of our assets classified for regulatory purposes at the dates indicated.

	At June 30,							
	2012	2011	2010					
		(In thousands)						
Substandard assets	\$8,305	\$6,484	\$7,840					
Doubtful assets								
Loss assets								
Total classified assets	\$8,305	\$6,484	\$7,840					

Substandard assets at June 30, 2012, consisted of 67 loans totaling \$5.9 million and 20 parcels of real estate owned with an aggregate carrying value of \$2.4 million. Substandard assets increased \$1.8 million or 28.1% from year to year. At June 30, 2012, 29.4% of the Company's substandard assets were represented by real estate acquired through foreclosure compared to 66.4% at June 30, 2011. In August 2011, the Company sold property with a carrying value of \$1.55 million for \$2.2 million. The Company made the loan to the purchaser of the property and, as such, will defer recognition of the gain until the proper time in the future. The deferred gain on the sale of this particular property at June 30, 2012 was \$639,000. Although this loan to facilitate the sale of other real estate owned is operating as scheduled, the \$1.5 million outstanding principal was included in substandard loans.

The table below summarizes other real estate owned at June 30, 2012:

	Number of Properties	Net Carrying Value
(dollars in thousands)		
Single family, non-owner occupied	7	\$ 765
2-4 family, owner occupied	11	1,432
5 or more family, non-owner occupied	1	233
Building lot	<u>_1</u>	<u>15</u>
Total other real estate owned in substandard assets	_20	\$ 2,445

All substandard loans were secured by residential property on which the banks have priority lien position. The table below summarizes substandard loans at June 30, 2012:

	Number of Properties	Net Carrying Value
(dollars in thousands)		
Single family, owner occupied	57	\$5,190
Single family, non-owner occupied	9	633
2-4 family, owner occupied	<u>_1</u>	<u>37</u>
Total substandard loans	<u>67</u>	<u>\$ 5,860</u>

Other than disclosed above, there are no other loans at June 30, 2012 that we have serious doubts about the ability of the borrowers to comply with the present loan repayment terms.

**Delinquencies.** The following table provides information about delinquencies in our loan portfolios at the dates indicated.

	At June 30,							
	20	12	20	11				
	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due				
		(In tho	usands)					
Real estate loans	\$ 3,096	\$ 1,236	\$ 1,861	\$ 1,320				
Consumer loans								
Total	\$ 3,096	\$ 1,236	\$ 1,861	\$ 1,320				

Securities. Our securities portfolio consists primarily of mortgage-backed securities with maturities of 30 years or less. Investment and mortgage-backed securities totaled \$4.9 million at June 30, 2012, a decrease of \$2.1 million, or 29.5%, compared to the \$7.0 million total at June 30, 2011. The reduction in these securities resulted from maturities, calls and prepayments of investments and mortgage-backed securities. All of our mortgage-backed securities were issued by Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac.

The following table sets forth the carrying values and fair values of our securities portfolio at the dates indicated.

	At June 30,											
	2012				2011				2010			
	Amortized Fair Cost Value						air lue	Amortized Cost		Fair Value		
					(	In thous	ands)					
Mortgage-backed securities:												
Available-for-sale securities	\$	185	\$	189	\$	199	\$	203	\$	240	\$	246
Held to maturity securities	\$	4,756	\$	5,144	\$	6,810	\$	7,257	\$	9,435	\$ 1	10,026

At June 30, 2012 and 2011, we did not own any securities that had an aggregate book value in excess of 10% of our equity at that date.

The following table sets forth the maturities and weighted average yields of securities at June 30, 2012. At June 30, 2012, we had no U.S. Government agency securities with adjustable rates.

	One_	Yeai	r or Less	More Than One Year to Five Years		One Year to Five Years to			More Than	Ten Years	Total Investment Portfolio			
	Amorti Cost		Weighted Average Yield	Amor Co		Weighted Average Yield	Amort Cos		Weighted Average Yield	Amortized Cost	Weighted Average Yield	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Weighted Average Yield
							(	Dolla	rs in thousa	nds)				- <del></del>
Available for sale securities:  Mortgage-backed securities	\$	7	2.36%	\$	30	2.36%	\$	41	2.36%	\$ 107	2.36%	\$ 185	\$ 189	2.36%
Held to maturity securities: Mortgage-backed securities	\$	537	4.20%	\$ 2	2,394	4.21%	\$ 1	,170	4.34%	\$ 655	5.34%	\$ 4,756	\$ 5,144	4.39%

Other Assets. Other assets at June 30, 2012, include goodwill of \$14.5 million, which was a result of the Company's acquisition of Frankfort First and bank owned life insurance policies with a carrying value of \$2.7 million and \$2.6 million at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, of which First Federal of Frankfort is the owner and beneficiary. Both subsidiary Banks are members and stockholders of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati ("FHLB"). FHLB stock, at cost, totaled \$5.6 million at both June 30, 2012 and 2011.

**Deposits.** Our primary source of funds is retail deposit accounts held primarily by individuals within our market areas. Deposits totaled \$134.6 million at June 30, 2012, a decrease of \$5.4 million or 3.9%, compared to the \$139.9 million total at June 30, 2011. The decrease in deposits occurred primarily as a result of retail deposit customers seeking yields offered by other institutions. Although management generally strives to maintain a moderate rate of growth in deposits, primarily through marketing and pricing strategies, market conditions and competition may curtail growth opportunities. Rather than striving to offer the highest interest rate on deposit products in our market area, management of the Banks offer deposit products that fit the Banks' funding strategies.

The following table sets forth the balances of our deposit products at the dates indicated.

	At June 30,						
		2012		2011		2010	
			(In th	nousands)			
Certificate of deposit accounts	\$	83,483	\$	93,036	\$	102,666	
Demand, transaction and							
passbook savings accounts		51,069		46,904		42,303	
Total		134,552	\$	139,940	_\$	144,969	

The following table indicates the amount of certificate of deposit accounts with balances equal to or greater than \$100,000, by time remaining until maturity at June 30, 2012. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") currently insures deposits up to \$250,000 in most cases, making certificate of deposit accounts with balances equal to or greater than \$100,000 less volatile as before the limit was raised.

Maturity Period	Certificates of Deposit (In thousands)				
	(1n tno	usanas)			
Three months or less	\$	3,971			
Over three months through six months		5,938			
Over six months through twelve months		9,722			
Over twelve months		9,069			
Total	\$	28,700			

The following table sets forth our certificate of deposit accounts classified by rates at the dates indicated.

	At June 30,								
	2012 2011					2010			
		<del></del>							
Rate									
0.01 - 0.99%	\$	38,230	\$	7,472	\$	1,507			
1.00 - 1.99		34,801		56,141		21,326			
2.00 - 2.99		8,646		18,533		51,586			
3.00 - 3.99		428		2,669		12,337			
4.00 - 4.99		1,290		7,272		7,962			
5.00 - 5.99		88		949		7,948			
Total	9	83,483	\$	93,036	\$	102,666			

The following table sets forth the amount and maturities of certificate accounts at June 30, 2012.

	 ss Than ne Year	One	re Than Year to o Years	Year to Two Year		More Three	Than Years	Total		Percentage of Total Certificate Accounts			
			(Dollars in thousands)										
0.01 -0.99%	\$ 33,715	\$	4,515	\$		\$		\$	38,230	45.8%			
1.00 – 1.99	18,288		8,649		7,371		493		34,801	41.7			
2.00 – 2.99	2,464		1,734		2,235		2,213		8,646	10.4			
3.00 – 3.99	332		58		38				428	0.5			
4.00 - 4.99	1,181		94		5		10		1,290	1.5			
5.00 - 5.99	88								88	0.1			
Total	\$ 56,068	\$	15,050	\$	9,649	\$	2,716	\$	83,483	100.0%			

The following table sets forth the average balances and rates paid on deposits.

	Year Ended June 30,									
	20	12	201	11	2010					
	Average Balance	Average Rate	Average Balance	Average Rate	Average Balance	Average Rate				
		(1	Oollars in thousands)							
Noninterest-bearing demand	\$ 1,219	0.00%	\$ 994	0.00%	\$ 1,012	0.00%				
Interest-bearing demand	12,538	0.24%	14,442	0.10%	11,973	0.87%				
Passbook savings accounts	36,092	0.78%	30,941	1.07%	29,433	1.00%				
Certificates of deposit	88,159	1.43%	98,574	2.17%	100,723	3.01%				

The following table sets forth the deposit activities for the periods indicated.

	Year Ended June 30,						
	2012			2011		2010	
			(In t	housands)			
Beginning balance	\$	139,940	\$	144,969	\$	139,743	
Increase (decrease) before interest credited		(6,955)		(7,515)		1,793	
Interest credited		1,567		2,486		3,433	
Net increase (decrease) in deposits		(5,388)		(5,029)		5,226	
Ending balance	- 3	\$134,552		\$139,940		\$144,969	

**Borrowings.** Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati amounted to \$27.1 million and \$25.3 million at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The following table presents certain information regarding our Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati advances during the periods and at the dates indicated.

	Year Ended June 30,					
	2012	2011	2010			
	(Dollars in thousands)					
Balance outstanding at end of period	\$27,065	\$25,261	\$32,009			
Maximum amount of advances outstanding at any month end during the period	\$39,016	\$38,503	\$37,564			
Average advances outstanding during the period	\$27,928	\$29,524	\$35,325			
Weighted average interest rate		,	. ,			
during the period	2.19%	2.35%	4.38%			
Weighted average interest rate at end of period	2.27%	2.50%	3.58%			

**Shareholders' Equity.** Shareholders' equity totaled \$58.9 million at June 30, 2012, a \$156,000 or 0.3%, increase compared to June 30, 2011. The increase resulted primarily from earnings exceeding dividends paid in the year and repurchases of the Company's common stock.

The Banks are required to maintain minimum regulatory capital pursuant to federal regulations. At June 30, 2012, both First Federal of Hazard's and First Federal of Frankfort's regulatory capital substantially exceeded all minimum regulatory capital requirements. Management is not aware of any recent event that would cause this classification to change.

#### Results of Operations for the Years Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

General. Net earnings totaled \$1.7 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, a decrease of \$41,000, or 2.3%, from the net earnings recorded for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011. The decrease in earnings year over year was due primarily to higher income tax expense. Although net income before taxes increased \$695,000 or 37.4% to \$2.6 million for the year ended June 30, 2012, compared to the prior year period, income tax expense increased a disproportional \$736,000 to \$840,000 for the recently ended year. Effective income tax rates for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, were 32.9% and 5.6%, respectively, due to recognition of a \$403,000 tax refund in the prior year.

Interest Income. Total interest income for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012 was \$10.2 million, a decrease of \$593,000, or 5.5%, compared to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011. The decrease in interest income was due primarily to a decrease in interest income on loans, which decreased by \$482,000, or 4.8% to \$9.7 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, compared to fiscal 2011. Also contributing to the reduction in interest income was a decrease in interest income from mortgage-backed securities and interest-bearing deposits and other. Compared to fiscal 2011, interest income on mortgage-backed securities decreased \$99,000 or 27.9% to \$256,000 for the year just ended. Interest-bearing deposits and other earned \$235,000, a decrease of \$11,000 or 4.5%, compared to fiscal 2011, due to a decrease in volume.

Interest income from loans decreased chiefly due to a reduction in the average rate earned on the loan portfolio, while the average balance of loans also decreased year to year. The average rate earned on loans decreased by 15 basis points to 5.24% for the 2012 year, as the average balance of loans outstanding decreased by \$3.7 million or 2.0% to \$184.5 million. The decrease in the average rate earned on loans for fiscal 2012 occurred primarily as a result of borrowers refinancing to lower rates and to a lesser degree by loans repricing as scheduled. Many loans are at their rate floors, which kept them from repricing during the past year. The decrease in interest income from mortgage-backed securities was attributable primarily to a \$2.3 million, or 27.6%, decrease in the average balance of mortgage-backed securities outstanding. Payoff speeds accelerated for mortgage-backed securities, as there were no sales of those securities during fiscal 2012. The average balance of other interest-earning assets decreased \$1.8 million or 14.7% to \$10.5 million, while the average yield increased by 24 basis points to 2.23% for fiscal 2012.

Interest Expense. Interest expense totaled \$2.2 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, a decrease of \$1.0 million, or 31.5%, from fiscal 2011. The decrease in interest expense resulted primarily from lower costs of deposits, while lower interest expense was also experienced from borrowings. Interest expense on deposits decreased \$919,000 or 37.0% to \$1.6 million for the 2012 fiscal year, while the cost of borrowings decreased \$83,000 or 11.9% to \$612,000 for the 2012 fiscal year. Decreased costs on deposits and borrowings were attributable primarily to a decrease in rates paid on those deposits and borrowings during the year. The average rate paid on deposits decreased 52 basis points to 1.21% for the year just ended, while the average deposits outstanding decreased \$7.2 million or 5.0% from year to year. Average borrowings decreased by \$1.6 million to \$27.9 million for the year ended June 30, 2012, while the average rate paid on borrowings decreased 16 basis points to 2.19% for fiscal 2012.

Net Interest Income. As a result of the aforementioned changes in interest income and interest expense, net interest income before provision for loan losses increased \$409,000 or 5.4% to \$8.0 million for the 2012 year. As indicated on the following table, our net interest margin increased from 3.61% for the 2011 fiscal year to 3.93% for the year just ended, primarily as a result of interest-bearing liabilities repricing to lower interest rates at a faster pace than our interest-earning assets repriced to lower interest rates. We likely cannot sustain the pace at which the rates for interest-bearing liabilities has declined, because as those liabilities reprice ever closer to nil, they will likely level off at the lowest levels. Also in the current historically-low interest rate environment, we might be forced to meet competing lenders' low rates in order to retain our loan portfolio.

Average Balances and Yields. The following table presents information regarding average balances of assets and liabilities, the total dollar amounts of interest income and dividends, the total dollar amount of interest expense and the resulting average yields and costs. The yields and costs for the periods indicated are derived by dividing income or expense by the average balances of assets or liabilities, respectively, for the periods presented. For purposes of this table, average balances have been calculated using the average of daily balances and nonaccrual loans are included in average balances only. We did not hold any non-taxable securities during any of the periods presented in the table.

		2012		2011				2010		
(Dollars in thousands)	Average Balance	Interest And <u>Dividends</u>	Yield/ Cost	Average Balance	Interest And <u>Dividends</u>	Yield/ Cost	Average Balance	Interest And <u>Dividends</u>	Yield/ Cost	
Interest-earning assets: Loans Mortgage-backed securities Other securities Other interest-earning assets Total interest-earning assets Noninterest-earning assets Total assets	\$184,532 6,036 2,047 10,546 203,161 24,199 \$227,360	\$9,664 256 1 <u>235</u> 10,156	5.24% 4.24 0.05 2.23 5.00	\$188,237 8,341 974 12,359 209,911 23,617 \$233,528	\$10,146 355 2 <u>246</u> 10,749	5.39% 4.26 0.21 1.99 5.12	\$190,372 11,139 4,961 9,354 215,826 22,952 \$238,778	\$10,475 474 169 <u>260</u> 11,378	5.50% 4.26 3.41 <u>2.78</u> 5.27	
Interest-bearing liabilities: Demand deposits Savings Certificates of deposit Total deposits Borrowings Total interest-bearing liabilities Noninterest-bearing demand deposits Noninterest-bearing liabilities Total liabilities	\$12,538 36,092 88,159 136,789 27,928 164,717 1,219 2,516 168,452	\$ 30 281 1,256 1,567 612 2,179	0.24% 0.78 1.43 1.15 2.19 1.32	\$14,442 30,941 98,574 143,957 29,524 173,481 994 	\$ 14 330 2,142 2,486 695 3,181	0.10% 1.07 2.17 1.73 2.35 1.83	\$11,973 29,433 100,723 142,129 35,325 177,454 1,012 2,353 180,819	\$ 104 294 3,035 3,433 1,547 4,980	0.87% 1.00 <u>3.01</u> 2.42 <u>4.38</u> 2.81	
Shareholders' equity Total liabilities and shareholders' equity Net interest income/average yield Net interest margin Average interest-earning assets to Average interest-bearing liabilities	<u>58,908</u> \$227,360	<u>\$7,977</u>	3.68% 3.93% 123.34%	<u>57,826</u> <u>\$233,528</u>	<u>\$ 7,568</u>	3.29% 3.61% 121.00%	57,959 \$238,778	<u>\$ 6,398</u>	2.46% 2.96% 121.62%	

Rate/Volume Analysis. The following table sets forth the effects of changing rates and volumes on our net interest income. The rate column shows the effects attributable to changes in rate (changes in rate multiplied by prior volume). The volume column shows the effects attributable to changes in volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior rate). For purposes of this table, changes attributable to changes in both rate and volume that cannot be segregated have been allocated proportionately based on the changes due to rate and the changes due to volume. The total column represents the sum of the prior columns.

	2012 Incre	to June 30, 20 ease (Decrease to Changes I	)11 e)	Twelve months ended June 30, 2011 to June 30, 2010 Increase (Decrease) Due to Changes In		
(in thousands)	_Volume_	Rate	Total	Volume	Rate	Total
Interest-earning assets:						
Loans receivable Mortgage-backed	\$ (197)	\$(285)	\$ (482)	\$ (117)	\$(212)	\$ (329)
securities	(98)	(1)	(99)	(119)		(119)
Investment securities Other interest-earning				(77)	(90)	(167)
assets	(60)_	49_	(11)	(121)_	107_	(14)
Total interest-earning	(0.5.5)	(0.0 m)	(=00)	(10.1)	(40.5)	((20)
assets	(355)	(237)	(592)	(434)	(195)	(629)
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Checking accounts	(2)	18	16	27	(117)	(90)
Savings accounts	79	(128)	(49)	15	21	36
Certificates of deposit	(208)	(677)	(885)	(63)	(830)	(893)
FHLB Advances	(36)	(47)	(83)	(223)	(629)	(852)
Total interest-bearing					·	
liabilities	(167)	(834)	(1,001)	(244)	(1,555)	(1,799)
Increase in net interest						
income	\$(188)	<u>\$597</u>	\$ 409	\$(190)	\$1,360	\$ 1,170

Provision for Losses on Loans. A provision for losses on loans is charged to earnings to maintain the total allowance for loan losses at a level calculated by management based on historical experience, the volume and type of lending conducted by the Banks, the status of past due principal and interest payments and other factors related to the collectibility of the loan portfolio. Based upon an analysis of these factors, management recorded a provision of \$139,000 for losses on loans for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, a decrease of \$529,000 compared to a provision of \$668,000 for fiscal 2011. The reduced provision recorded during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012 generally reflects how abnormal fiscal 2011 was. In fiscal 2011 we wrote down by \$700,000 an atypical parcel of residential real estate after having written that same credit down by \$580,000 in the prior fiscal year. This credit, which is many times larger than the next largest loss in the Company's history, is the reason for such disparate results for the provision for losses on loans. During fiscal 2012 this particular property was sold via a loan to facilitate. That loan has performed as scheduled and there were no additional charges associated with that property in the 2012 year. Management believes all nonperforming loans are adequately collateralized or have been written down to their realizable value; however, there can be no assurance that the loan loss allowance will be adequate to absorb losses on known nonperforming assets or that the allowance will be adequate to cover losses on nonperforming assets in the future. See discussion about Allowance Loan Losses and Asset Quality.

Non-interest Income. Other non-interest income decreased \$102,000 or 42.1%, to \$140,000 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, due primarily to a \$132,000 or 85.2% decline in gains on sales of loans, which totaled \$23,000 for the recently-ended year. We were able to sell fewer long-term, fixed rate loans into the secondary market during the year, because of the market demand. We sold other real estate during the 2012 fiscal year at a gain, but because we financed the sales, the gains are being deferred until future periods when we expect to recognize them. However, the \$1,000 gain on sale of other real estate recognized in the year just ended compares favorably to the \$36,000 loss on sale of other real estate in the 2011 year. Other-than-temporary impairment charges on other real estate declined from \$71,000 for the 2011 fiscal year to \$67,000 for the year just ended. These charges were taken as updated appraisals continue to reflect continued weakness in the real estate market.

Non-interest Expense. Non-interest expense increased \$141,000 or 2.7% to \$5.4 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012 compared to fiscal 2011. The increase in non-interest expense is primarily attributed to an increase in legal and outside service fees, which totaled \$365,000 and \$267,000, respectively for the year just ended. Legal fees increased \$230,000 or 170.4%, compared to fiscal 2011, while outside service fees increased \$167,000 or 167.0% to \$267,000 for the year ended June 30, 2012. Legal fees and outside service fees increased as a result of the Company's acquisition and merger efforts. Such expenses can no longer be included in the total acquisition costs, but rather must be expensed in the period the expenses are incurred. Also increasing was employee compensation and benefits expense, which increased \$181,000 or 5.9% to \$3.2 million for the year just ended. The increase in employee compensation and benefits expense was related to increased retirement contribution expense. Foreclosure and OREO expenses declined \$173,000 or 34.2% from \$159,000 from the year ended June 30, 2011, to a net gain of \$14,000 for the recent year ended. The decrease in foreclosure costs and OREO was attributed primarily to significantly fewer foreclosures completed during the recent year and improved operating performance of rental property in OREO. Expenses for the OREO property were higher in the initial operating months as deferred maintenance was performed and occupancy rates were strengthened.

Federal Income Taxes. The provision for federal income tax increased \$736,000 from \$104,000 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011 to \$840,000 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, primarily due to a \$403,000 tax refund recognized pursuant to the resolution of a tax matter during the earlier year. The recognition of the tax refund resulted in a lower effective tax rate for the prior fiscal year, while the effective tax rate for the 2012 fiscal year returned to near normal. Effective income tax rates for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, were 32.9% and 5.6%, respectively.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity is the ability to meet current and future short-term financial obligations. Our primary sources of funds consist of cash and deposits at other banks, deposit inflows, loan repayments and maturities, calls and sales of investment and mortgage-backed securities and advances from the FHLB. While maturities and scheduled amortization of loans and securities are predictable sources of funds, deposit flows and mortgage prepayments are greatly influenced by general interest rates, economic conditions and competition.

We periodically assess our available liquidity and projected upcoming liquidity demands. We regularly adjust our investments in liquid assets based upon our assessment of (1) expected loan demand, (2) expected deposit flows, (3) yields available on interest-earning deposits and securities, and (4) the objectives of our asset/liability management program. Excess liquid assets are invested generally in interest-earning deposits, federal funds and short- and intermediate-term U.S. Government agency obligations.

Our most liquid assets are cash, federal funds sold and interest-bearing deposits. The levels of these assets depend on our operating, financing, lending and investing activities during any given period. At June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, cash and cash equivalents totaled \$5.7 million and \$5.0 million, respectively. Securities classified as available-for-sale, which provide additional sources of liquidity, totaled \$189,000 and \$203,000 at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. At June 30, 2012, we had the ability to borrow a total \$65.9 million from the FHLB, of which \$27.1 million was outstanding. In addition, we have the ability to borrow from the Federal Reserve Bank Discount Window. At June 30, 2012, we had pledged collateral which would enable us to borrow up to \$4.3 million.

We are not aware of any trends and/or demands, commitments, events or uncertainties that could result in a material protracted decrease in liquidity. We expect that all of our liquidity needs, including the contractual

commitments set forth in the table below can be met by our currently available liquid assets and cash flows. In the event any unforeseen demand or commitments were to occur, we would access our borrowing capacity with the FHLB. We expect that our currently available liquid assets and our ability to borrow from the FHLB would be sufficient to satisfy our liquidity needs without any material adverse effect on our liquidity.

Our primary investing activities are the origination of loans and the purchase of investment securities. In fiscal 2012 and 2011, we originated \$33.9 million and \$40.0 million of loans, respectively. During fiscal 2012, these activities were funded primarily by proceeds from principal repayments on loans of \$34.0 million. During fiscal 2011, these activities were funded primarily by proceeds from the principal repayments on loans of \$44.0 million and maturities of securities of \$13.7 million.

Financing activities consist primarily of activity in deposit accounts and in FHLB advances. We experienced a net decrease in total deposits of \$5.4 million and \$5.0 million for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Deposit flows are affected by the overall level of interest rates, the interest rates and products offered by us and our local competitors and other factors. We generally manage the pricing of our deposits to be competitive and to increase core deposit relationships. For the year ended June 30, 2012, our net increase in FHLB advances totaled \$1.8 million, as we replaced some of the deposits lost with advances.

#### **Commitments and Contractual Obligations**

At June 30, 2012, we had \$1.8 million in mortgage commitments. Certificates of deposit due within one year of June 30, 2011 totaled \$67.5 million, or 48.2% of total deposits. If these deposits do not remain with us, we might be required to seek other sources of funds, including FHLB advances or other certificates of deposit. Depending on market conditions, we may be required to pay higher rates on such deposits or other borrowings than we currently pay on the certificates of deposit due on or before June 30, 2012. We believe, however, based on past experience, that a significant portion of our certificates of deposit will remain with us. We have the ability to attract and retain deposits by adjusting the interest rates offered.

For the year ended June 30, 2012, other than loan commitments, we engaged in no off-balance-sheet transactions reasonably likely to have a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

#### **Dividend Policy**

In fiscal 2012, the Company's net income exceeded its aggregate dividend of \$1.6 million by \$111,000. In fiscal 2011, the Company's net income exceeded its aggregate dividend \$1.1 million by \$644,000. Approximately 61% of the shares of Kentucky First Federal are held by First Federal MHC, a mutual holding company created in 2005. Since the Company's inception, First Federal Mutual Holding Company applied to the Office of Thrift Supervision for, and was granted, permission to waive its right to dividends paid by Kentucky First Federal. While the Office of Thrift Supervision was eliminated on July 21, 2011 by the Dodd Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, a provision in the act allows mutual holding companies, who have waived their dividends prior to December 1, 2009, to continue to waive these dividends without objection by the Federal Reserve. This waiver action is conditioned on providing appropriate notice and absent the Federal Reserve's determination that the waiver would be detrimental to the safe and sound operations of the banks. An interim final rule issued by the Federal Reserve raises some question whether we will continue to be allowed to waive these dividends without detriment to the Company as a whole. The Federal Reserve did not object to dividend waivers in August 2011, November 2011 or February 2012. However, they refused to allow the waiver for the May 2012 dividend. As a result, the dividend was paid to the MHC as well as to public shareholders. In an effort to comply with Regulation MM and to be able to continue to waive the dividend, First Federal MHC put the issue to a vote of the members and on August 23, 2012, members of First Federal MHC voted in favor of the dividend waiver. Management believes that the Company has sufficient capital to continue the current dividend policy without affecting the well-capitalized status of either subsidiary bank. Indeed, the banks still far exceed all regulatory required capital levels. Therefore, we are seeking approval from the Federal Reserve to allow First Federal MHC to waive its right to dividends for the subsequent twelve months. If management should anticipate a long-term trend in which dividends consistently exceed net income (either due to regulatory mandate or a drop in income levels), the dividend policy would be reconsidered. Management cautions that comparison between the Company's published earnings per share and the

Company's published dividends per share does not lead to an accurate portrayal of the relationship between net income and dividends paid.

#### Impact of Inflation and Changing Prices

Our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, which require the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars without considering the change in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation. The primary impact of inflation on our operations is reflected in increased operating costs. Unlike most industrial companies, virtually all of our assets and liabilities are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates generally have a more significant impact on our performance than do general levels of inflation. Interest rates do not necessarily move in the same direction or to the same extent as the prices of goods and services.

### Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Kentucky First Federal Bancorp Frankfort, Kentucky

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Kentucky First Federal Bancorp as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Crowe Horwath LLP

Louisville, Kentucky September 28, 2012

### KENTUCKY FIRST FEDERAL BANCORP CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

June 30, 2012 and 2011

(Dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

ASSETS	2012	2011
Cash and due from financial institutions	<b>\$</b> 1,244	\$ 1,002
Interest-bearing demand deposits	<u>4,491</u>	<u>4.047</u>
Cash and cash equivalents	5,735	5,049
Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions	100	100
Securities available for sale	189	203
Securities held-to-maturity, at amortized cost- approximate fair value of \$5,144 and \$7,257 at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively	4,756	6,810
Loans held for sale	481	
Loans, net of allowance of \$875 and \$764 at June 30, 2012		
and 2011, respectively	182,473	182,796
Real estate owned, net	2,445	4,304
Premises and equipment, net	2,644	2,667
Federal Home Loan Bank stock, at cost	5,641	5,641
Accrued interest receivable	497	538
Bank-owned life insurance	2,697	2,607
Goodwill	14,507	14,507
Other intangible assets		87
Prepaid FDIC assessments	246	361
Prepaid federal income taxes	30	22
Prepaid expenses and other assets	508	443
Total assets	\$ <u>222,949</u>	\$ <u>226,135</u>
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Deposits	\$134,552	\$139,940
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	27,065	25,261
Advances by borrowers for taxes and insurance	487	471
Accrued interest payable	64	91
Deferred federal income taxes	774	1,021
Deferred revenue	648	
Other liabilities	506	<u>654</u>
Total liabilities	164,096	167,438
Commitments and contingencies	-	-
Shareholders' equity		
Preferred stock, 500,000 shares authorized, \$.01 par value;		
no shares issued and outstanding	-	-
Common stock, 20,000,000 shares authorized, \$.01 par value;	86	06
8,596,064 shares issued	86 36,870	86 36,907
Additional paid-in capital	31,971	31,860
Retained earnings Unearned employee stock ownership plan (ESOP)	(1,772)	(1,989)
Treasury shares at cost, 826,375 and 811,375 common shares at	(1,772)	(1,505)
June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively	(8,305)	(8,170)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	3	3
Total shareholders' equity	58,853	58,697
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ <u>222,949</u>	\$ <u>226,135</u>

# KENTUCKY FIRST FEDERAL BANCORP CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

For the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (Dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

	2012	2011
Interest income		
Loans	\$ 9,664	\$ 10,146
Mortgage-backed securities	256	355
Other securities	1	2
Interest-bearing deposits and other	235	246
Total interest income	10,156	10,749
Interest expense		
Deposits	1,567	2,486
Borrowings	<u>612</u>	<u>695</u>
Total interest expense	<u>2,179</u>	_3,181
Net interest income	7,977	7,568
Provision for loan losses	<u>139</u>	<u>668</u>
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	7,838	6,900
Non-interest income		
Gains on sales of loans	23	155
Earnings on bank-owned life insurance	90	90
Net gains (losses) on sales of real estate owned	1	(36)
Unrealized loss-other real estate	(67)	(71)
Other	93	104
Total non-interest income	140	242
Non-interest expense		
Salaries and employee benefits	3,238	3,057
Occupancy and equipment	355	355
Legal fees	365	135
Outside service fees	267	100
Data processing	241	246
Audit and accounting	84	205
Federal deposit insurance	144	197
Franchise and other taxes	182	191
Amortization of intangible assets	87	131
Real estate owned expense, net	(14)	159
Other operating	<u>474</u>	<u>506</u>
Total non-interest expense	<u>5,423</u>	<u>5,282</u>
Income before income taxes	2,555	1,860
Federal income taxes		
Current	1,087	(43)
Deferred	<u>(247)</u>	<u>147</u>
Total federal income taxes	840	104
NET INCOME	\$ <u>1,715</u>	\$ <u>1,756</u>
EARNINGS PER SHARE		
Basic and diluted	\$ <u>0.23</u>	\$ <u>0.23</u>

# KENTUCKY FIRST FEDERAL BANCORP CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

For the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (Dollar amounts in thousands)

	2012	2011
Net income	\$ 1,715	\$ 1,756
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax-related effects: Unrealized holding gains (losses) on securities available for sale during the year, net of tax (benefit) of \$0 and \$0 in 2012		
and 2011, respectively		_(1)
Comprehensive income	\$ <u>1,715</u>	\$ <u>1,755</u>

# KENTUCKY FIRST FEDERAL BANCORP CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

For the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

(Dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

	Common stock	Additional paid-in capital	Retained earnings	Unearned employee stock ownership plan (ESOP)	Treasury shares	Accumulated other comprehensive income	Total
Balance at July 1, 2010	\$ 86	\$ 36,623	\$ 31,216	\$ (2,169)	\$ (7,952)	<b>\$</b> 4	\$ 57,808
Net income	-	_	1,756	-	_	-	1,756
Allocation of ESOP shares	-	(15)	-	180	-	-	165
Amortization expense of stock benefit plans	-	242	-	-	-	-	242
Compensation expense related to vesting stock options	-	57	-	-	-	-	57
Acquisition of shares for Treasury	-	-	-	-	(218)	-	(218)
Unrealized gains on securities designated as							
available for sale, net of related tax effects	-	-	-	-	-	(1)	(1)
Cash dividends of \$0.40 per common share	<del>-</del>		(1,112)			<u>-</u>	(1,112)
Balance at June 30, 2011	86	36,907	31,860	(1,989)	(8,170)	3	58,697
Net income	-	-	1,715	-	-	-	1,715
Allocation of ESOP shares	-	(37)	-	217	-	-	180
Acquisition of shares for Treasury	-	-	-	-	(135)	-	(135)
Cash dividends of \$0.40 per common share	<u>-</u>		(1,604)		<u>-</u>	<del>-</del>	<u>(1,604)</u>
Balance at June 30, 2012	\$ <u>86</u>	\$ <u>36,870</u>	\$ <u>31,971</u>	\$ <u>(1,772</u> )	\$( <u>8,305)</u>	\$ <u>.3</u>	\$ <u>58,853</u>

# KENTUCKY FIRST FEDERAL BANCORP CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (Dollar amounts in thousands)

	2012	2011
Cash flows from operating activities: Net income	\$ 1,715	<b>\$ 1,756</b>
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash	w 1,7 10	w 2,700
provided by operating activities:	100	105
Amortization of deferred loan origination (fees) costs	189 8	185 (8)
Amortization of deterred total origination (tees) costs Amortization of premiums on FHLB advances	(12)	(108)
Amortization of core deposit intangibles	(1 <u>2</u> ) 87	`131
Net gain on sale of loans	(23) 67	(155)
Net loss on real estate owned	(3)	107
Net gain on sale of equipment  Deferred gain on sale of real estate acquired through foreclosure	(17)	
ESOP compensation expense	180′	180
Amortization of stock benefit plans and stock options expense	(00)	284
Earnings on bank-owned life insurance	(90) 139	(90) 668
Provision for loan losses Origination of loans held for sale		(3,881)
Proceeds from loans held for sale	(875) 417	4,406
Increase (decrease) in cash, due to changes in:	4.4	(20)
Accrued interest receivable	41 50	(20) 123
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(27)	(54)
Accrued interest payable Accounts payable and other liabilities	(148)	(54) (22)
Federal income taxes	( )	, ,
Current	(2(8)	(143)
Deferred	$\frac{(247)}{1443}$	$\frac{147}{3,506}$
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,773	3,300
Cash flows from investing activities:	(12 500)	(11,000)
Purchase of available-for-sale securities	(12,500)	(11,000)
Investment securities maturities, prepayments and calls: Held to maturity	2.054	13,625
Available for sale	2,054 12,514	41
Loans originated for investment, net of principal collected	2,456	3,205
Proceeds from sale of real estate owned	(163)	(121)
Additions to premises and equipment, net	(163) (1538)	<u>(121)</u> 6,044
Net cash provided by investing activities	7,550	0,011
Cash flows from financing activities:	/E 200\	(5.020)
Net change in deposits  Devemonts by borrowers for toyes and insurance, net	(5,388) 16	(5,029) 136
Payments by borfowers for taxes and insurance, net Proceeds from Federal Home Loan Bank advances	29,000	16,000
Repayments on Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(27,184)	(22,640)
Treasury stock repurchases	(135)	` (218) (1.112)
Dividends paid on common stock	<u>(1,604)</u> (5,295)	$\frac{(1.112)}{(12.863)}$
Net cash used in financing activities	/	<b>—</b> · · · /
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	686	(3,313)
Beginning cash and cash equivalents	<u>5,049</u>	<u>8,362</u>
Ending cash and cash equivalents	\$ <u>_5,735</u>	\$ <u>5,049</u>

# KENTUCKY FIRST FEDERAL BANCORP CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (CONTINUED)

For the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (Dollar amounts in thousands)

	2012	2011
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: Cash paid during the year for: Federal income taxes  Interest on deposits and borrowings	\$ <u>1,090</u> \$ <u>2,218</u>	\$ <u>97</u> \$ <u>3,343</u>
Supplemental disclosure of noncash investing activities: Transfers from loans to real estate acquired through foreclosure	<u>\$ 96</u>	\$ <u>4,643</u>
Loans disbursed upon sales of real estate acquired through foreclosure	\$ <u>2,375</u>	\$ <u>686</u>

June 30, 2012 and 2011

### NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Kentucky First Federal Bancorp (the "Company") is a savings and loan holding company whose activities are primarily limited to holding the stock and managing the operations of First Federal Savings and Loan Association of Hazard, Kentucky ("First Federal of Hazard") and Frankfort First Bancorp, Inc., ("Frankfort First") the holding company for First Federal Savings Bank of Frankfort ("First Federal of Frankfort"). First Federal of Hazard and First Federal of Frankfort are collectively referred to herein as "the Banks." First Federal of Hazard is a community-oriented savings and loan association dedicated to serving consumers in Perry and surrounding counties in eastern Kentucky, while First Federal of Frankfort operates through three banking offices located in Frankfort, Kentucky. Both institutions engage primarily in the business of attracting deposits from the general public and applying those funds to the origination of loans for residential and consumer purposes. First Federal of Frankfort also originates, to a lesser extent, church loans, home equity and other loans. Other than a predominance of one- to fourfamily residential property, which is common in most thrifts, there are no significant concentrations of loans to any one industry or customer. However, the customers' ability to repay their loans is dependent on the real estate and general economic conditions in the Banks' specific operating areas. The Banks' profitability is significantly dependent on net interest income, which is the difference between interest income generated from interest-earning assets (i.e. loans and investments) and the interest expense paid on interest-bearing liabilities (i.e. customer deposits and borrowed funds). Net interest income is affected by the relative amount of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and the interest received or paid on these balances. The level of interest rates paid or received by the Banks can be significantly influenced by a number of environmental factors, such as governmental monetary policy, that are outside of management's control.

The consolidated financial information presented herein has been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("U.S. GAAP"). In preparing consolidated financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from such estimates.

The following is a summary of the Company's significant accounting policies which have been consistently applied in the preparation of the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

- 1. <u>Principles of Consolidation</u>: The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, First Federal of Hazard, Frankfort First and First Federal of Frankfort. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.
- 2. <u>Use of Estimates</u>: To prepare financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP, management makes estimates and assumptions based on available information. These estimates and assumptions affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and the disclosures provided, and actual results could differ. The allowance for loan losses, goodwill, loan servicing rights, deferred tax assets and fair values of financial instruments are particularly subject to change.

June 30, 2012 and 2011

### NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

3. Securities: Debt securities are classified as held to maturity or available for sale. Securities classified as held to maturity are to be carried at cost only if the Company has the positive intent and ability to hold these securities to maturity. Securities designated as available for sale are carried at fair value with resulting unrealized gains or losses recorded to shareholders' equity, net of tax. Realized gains and losses on sales of securities are recognized using the specific identification method.

Interest income includes amortization of purchase premium or discount. Premiums and discounts on securities are amortized on the level-yield method without anticipating prepayments, except for mortgage backed securities where prepayments are anticipated. Gains and losses on sales are recorded on the trade date and determined using the specific identification method.

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") at least on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such an evaluation. For securities in an unrealized loss position, management considers the extent and duration of the unrealized loss, and the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer. Management also assesses whether it intends to sell, or it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell, a security in an unrealized loss position before recovery of its amortized cost basis. If either of the criteria regarding intent or requirement to sell is met, the entire difference between amortized cost and fair value is recognized as impairment through earnings. For debt securities that do not meet the aforementioned criteria, the amount of impairment is split into two components as follows: 1) OTTI related to credit loss, which must be recognized in the income statement and 2) OTTI related to other factors, which is recognized in other comprehensive income. The credit loss is defined as the difference between the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected and the amortized cost basis.

4. Loans: Loans that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or payoff are reported at the principal amount outstanding, adjusted for deferred loan origination fees and the allowance for loan losses. Interest income is accrued on the unpaid principal balance unless the collectibility of the loan is in doubt. Loan origination fees, net of certain direct origination costs, are deferred and recognized in interest income using the level-yield method without anticipating prepayments. Interest income on loans is generally discontinued at the time a loan is 90 days delinquent unless the loan is well-secured and in process of collection. Past due status is based on the contractual terms of the loan. In all cases, loans are placed on nonaccrual or charged-off at an earlier date if collection of principal or interest is considered doubtful. Nonaccrual loans and loans past due 90 days still on accrual include both smaller balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment and individually classified impaired loans. A loan is moved to non-accrual status in accordance with the Company's policy, typically 90 days after the loan becomes delinquent.

All interest accrued but not received for loans placed on nonaccrual is reversed against interest income. Interest received on such loans is accounted for on the cash-basis or cost-recovery method, until qualifying for return to accrual. Loans are returned to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured.

5. <u>Loans held for sale</u>: Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost (less principal payments received) or fair value, calculated on an aggregate basis. At June 30, 2012 the Company had \$481,000 in loans held for sale, while at June 30, 2011, no loans were held for sale.

June 30, 2012 and 2011

### NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

### 5. Loans held for sale: (continued)

In selling loans, the Company utilizes a program with the Federal Home Loan Bank, retaining servicing on loans sold. Mortgage servicing rights on originated loans that have been sold are initially recorded at fair value. Capitalized servicing rights are amortized in proportion to and over the period of estimated servicing revenues. The Company recorded amortization related to mortgage servicing rights totaling \$22,000 and \$13,000 during the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The carrying value of the Company's mortgage servicing rights, which approximated fair value, totaled approximately \$101,000 and \$120,000 at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The Company was servicing mortgage loans of approximately \$12.6 million and \$15.0 million that had been sold to the Federal Home Loan Bank at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, we sold \$394,000 in loans under the FHLB program and the average balance of loans serviced was \$13.9 million.

Servicing rights are evaluated for impairment based upon the fair value of the rights as compared to carrying amount. Impairment is recognized through a valuation allowance for an individual grouping, to the extent that fair value is less than the carrying amount. If the Company later determines that all or a portion of the impairment no longer exists for a particular grouping, a reduction of the allowance may be recorded as an increase to income. Changes in valuation allowances are reported with other non-interest income on the income statement. The fair values of servicing rights are subject to significant fluctuations as a result of changes in estimated and actual prepayment speeds and default rates and losses.

Servicing fee income which is reported on the income statement as other non-interest income is recorded for fees earned for servicing loans. The fees are based on a contractual percentage of the outstanding principal and are recorded as income when earned. The amortization of mortgage servicing rights is netted against loan servicing fee income. Servicing fees totaled \$34,000 and \$36,000 for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Late fees and ancillary fees related to loan servicing are not material.

6. Allowance for Loan Losses: The allowance for loan losses is a valuation allowance for probable incurred credit losses. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectibility of a loan balance is confirmed. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance. Management estimates the allowance balance required using past loss experience, the nature and volume of the portfolio, trends in the level of delinquent and problem loans, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, the estimated value of any underlying collateral and current and anticipated economic conditions in the primary lending area. Allocations of the allowance may be made for specific loans, but the entire allowance is available for any loan that, in management's judgment, should be charged off.

The allowance consists of specific and general components. The specific component relates to loans that are individually classified as impaired or loans otherwise classified as substandard or doubtful. The general component covers non-classified loans and is based on historical loss experience adjusted for current factors. The historical loss experience is determined by portfolio segment and is based on the actual loss history experienced by the Company over the most recent eight quarters. This actual loss experience is supplemented with other economic factors based on the risks present for each portfolio segment.

June 30, 2012 and 2011

### NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

### 6. Allowance for loan losses: (continued)

These economic factors include consideration of the following: levels of and trends in delinquencies and impaired loans; levels of and trends in charge-offs and recoveries; trends in volume and terms of loans; changes in lending policies, procedures and practices; experience, ability and depth of lending management and other relevant staff; economic trends and conditions; industry conditions; and effects of changes in credit concentrations. Our portfolio segments include residential real estate, nonresidential real estate and land, loans on deposits and consumer and other loans. Risk factors associated with our portfolio segments are as follows:

### Residential Real Estate

Our primary lending activity is the origination of mortgage loans, which enable a borrower to purchase or refinance existing homes in the Banks' respective market areas. We further classify our residential real estate loans as one- to four-family, multi-family or construction. We believe that our first mortgage position on loans secured by residential real estate presents lower risk than our other loans, with the exception of loans on deposits.

We offer a mix of adjustable-rate and fixed-rate mortgage loans with terms up to 40 years for owner-occupied properties. For these properties a borrower may be able to borrow up to 95% of the value with private mortgage insurance. Alternatively, the borrower may be able to borrow up to 90% of the value with a traditional first mortgage and a second mortgage (bearing a higher rate of interest) on the additional 10% of the value. After initial fixed-rate periods of one, three, five or seven years, the interest rates on the adjustable-rate loans adjust once a year with annual limitation of one percentage point per adjustment period and a lifetime cap of five percentage points.

We also originate loans to individuals to finance the construction of residential dwellings for personal use or for use as rental property. We do not generally lend to builders for construction of speculative or custom residential properties for resale. Construction loans are generally less than one year in length, do not exceed 80% of the appraised value, and provide for the payment of interest only during the construction phase. Funds are disbursed as progress is made toward completion of the construction based on site inspections by qualified bank staff.

### Multi-family and Nonresidential Loans

We offer mortgage loans secured by residential non-owner-occupied one- to four-family, residential multi-family (five or more units), and nonresidential real estate. Nonresidential real estate loans are comprised generally of commercial office buildings, churches, condominiums and properties used for other purposes. Generally, these loans are originated for 25 years or less and do not exceed 75% of the appraised value. Loans secured by multi-family and commercial real estate generally have larger balances and involve a greater degree of risk than one- to four-family residential mortgage loans. These loans depend on the borrower's creditworthiness and the feasibility and cash flow potential of the project. Payments on loans secured by income properties often depend on successful operation and management of the properties. As a result, repayment on such loans may be subject to a greater extent to adverse conditions in the real estate market or economy than owner-occupied residential loans.

June 30, 2012 and 2011

### NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

6. Allowance for loan losses: (continued)

### Consumer lending

Our consumer loans include home equity lines of credit and loans secured by savings deposits. Home equity loans are generally second mortgage loans subordinate only to first mortgages also held by the bank and do not exceed 80% of the estimated value of the property. We do offer home equity loans up to 90% of the estimated value to qualified borrowers and these loans carry a premium rate. Loans secured by savings are originated up to 90% of the depositor's savings account balance and normally bear interest at two percentage points above the rate paid on the deposit account. Because the deposit account must be pledged as collateral to secure the loan, the inherent risk of this type of loan is minimal.

In years prior to the year ended June 30, 2011, the loss history of the most recent 12 quarterly periods was used in the calculation of the Company's general component of allowance for losses on loans. A three year period is the amount of time we determined was appropriate and provided a representative time frame from which we might estimate losses within our portfolio. Although prior to the 2011 fiscal year the Company had not incurred substantial losses, we did incur losses which have been discussed in previous financial statements and regulatory filings. When a loss event occurs it is prudent to shorten the period of time that is deemed representative of the loss period. This shortening process focuses much more attention on recent events than the normal period would focus, and, in our case, increased the amount that we determined was proper for our allowance for losses on loans. After a loss event occurs it is also prudent to re-extend the period of time used to represent the Company's loss history. Failure to lengthen the time period would have the undesirable effect of focusing too closely on recent loss history and could result in losing sight of the loss event that prompted the change in the first place. Therefore, during the 2011 year our loss history lookback period was shortened to the most recent four quarters, while during the 2012 year we began to lengthen the lookback period and used the most recent eight quarterly periods. We believe that the change to our lookback period was proper in determining an adequate amount loan loss allowance.

The Banks account for impaired loans by determining the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate or, as an alternative, at the loan's observable market price or fair value of the collateral, reduced by estimated selling costs.

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that a creditor will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Although all of our loans are secured by collateral, we rely heavily on the capacity of our borrowers to generate sufficient cash flow to service their debt. As a result, our loans do not become collateral-dependent until there is deterioration in the borrower's cash flow and financial condition, which makes it necessary for us to look to the collateral for our sole source of repayment. Collateral-dependent loans which are more than ninety days delinquent are considered to constitute more than a minimum delay in repayment and are evaluated for impairment under the policy at that time.

In the calculation of our general valuation allowance we consider the potential for outdated appraisal values to be of minimal risk and, therefore, do not attribute a specific factor to it.

June 30, 2012 and 2011

### NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

### 6. Allowance for loan losses: (continued)

With respect to the Banks' investment in troubled debt restructurings, multi-family and nonresidential loans, and the evaluation of impairment thereof, such loans are nonhomogenous and, as such, may be deemed to be collateral-dependent when they become more than ninety days delinquent. We obtain updated independent appraisals in these situations or when we suspect that the previous appraisal may no longer be reflective of the property's current fair value. This process varies from loan to loan, borrower to borrower, and also varies based on the nature of the collateral.

We utilize updated independent appraisals to determine fair value for collateral-dependent loans, adjusted for estimated selling costs, in determining our specific reserve. In some situations management does not secure an updated independent appraisal. These situations may involve small loan amounts or loans that, in management's opinion, have an abnormally low loan-to-value ratio.

- 7. Federal Home Loan Bank Stock: The banks are members of the FHLB system. Members are required to own a certain amount of stock based on the level of borrowings and other factors, and may invest in additional amounts. FHLB stock is carried at cost, classified as a restricted security, and periodically evaluated for impairment based on ultimate recovery of par value. Both cash and stock dividends are reported as income.
- 8. Real Estate Owned: Real estate acquired through or instead of foreclosure is initially recorded at fair value less estimated selling expenses at the date of acquisition, establishing a new cost basis. These assets are subsequently accounted for at lower of cost or fair value less estimated costs to sell. If fair value declines subsequently, the carrying value is adjusted through a valuation allowance and the amount is recorded through expense. Costs relating to holding real estate owned, net of rental income, are charged against earnings as incurred.
- 9. <u>Premises and Equipment</u>: Land is carried at cost. Premises and equipment are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation. The cost of premises and equipment includes expenditures which extend the useful lives of existing assets. Maintenance, repairs and minor renewals are expensed as incurred. For financial reporting, depreciation is provided on the straight-line method over the useful lives of the assets, estimated to be forty years for buildings, ten to forty years for building improvements, and five to ten years for furniture and equipment.
- 10. Income Taxes: Income tax expense is the total of the current year income tax due or refundable and the change in deferred tax assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are the expected future tax amounts for the temporary differences between carrying amounts and tax bases of assets and liabilities, computed using enacted tax rates. Deferred tax assets are recorded only to the extent that the amount of net deductible temporary differences or carryforward attributes may be utilized against current period earnings, carried back against prior years earnings, offset against taxable temporary differences reversing in future periods, or utilized to the extent of management's estimate of future taxable income. A valuation allowance, if needed, reduces deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. Deferred tax liabilities are provided on the total amount of net temporary differences taxable in the future.

A tax provision is recognized as a benefit only if it is "more likely than not" that the tax position would be sustained in a tax examination, with a tax examination being presumed to occur. The amount recognized is the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized on examination. For tax positions not meeting the "more likely than not" test, no tax benefit is recorded. The Company recognizes interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters as income tax expense.

June 30, 2012 and 2011

### NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

10. <u>Income Taxes</u>: (continued)

Kentucky First Federal Bancorp and Frankfort First Bancorp, Inc., each are subject to state income taxes in the Commonwealth of Kentucky. Neither of the Banks are subject to state income tax in the Commonwealth. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal, state and local tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2009.

11. Retirement and Employee Benefit Plans: The Banks each participate in the Pentegra Defined Benefit Plan for Financial Institutions ("The Pentegra DB Plan"), which is a tax-qualified, multi-employer defined benefit pension fund covering all employees who qualify as to length of service. The Pentegra DB Plan's Employer Identification Number is 13-5645888 and the Plan Number is 333. The Pentegra DB Plan operates as a multi-employer plan for accounting purposes and as a multiple-employer plan under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 and the Internal Revenue Code. The Pentegra DB Plan is a single plan under Internal Revenue Code Section 413(c) and, as a result, all of the assets stand behind all of the liabilities. Accordingly, under the Pentegra DB Plan contributions made by a participating employer may be used to provide benefits to participants of other participating employers. Total contributions made to the Pentegra DB Plan, as reported on Form 5500, equal \$299.7 million and \$203.6 million for the plan years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Our contributions for fiscal 2012 and 2011 was not more than 5% of the total contributions made to the Pentegra DB Plan. Pension expense is the net contributions, which are based upon covered employees' ages and salaries and are dependent upon the ultimate prescribed benefits of the participants and the funded status of the plan. The Company recognized expense related to the plans totaling approximately \$742,000 and \$564,000 for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. There are no collective bargaining agreements in place that require contributions to the Pentegra DB Plan. As of July 1, 2011, the most recent period for which information is available, First Federal of Hazard had a funded status of 83.79%, while First Federal of Frankfort had a funded status of 85.95%. There are no funding improvement plans or surcharges to participants.

The Company also maintains a nonqualified deferred compensation plan for the benefit of certain directors, which is closed to any future deferrals. Under the plan, the Company pays each participant, or their beneficiary, the amount of fees deferred plus interest under terms selected by the individual participants prior to compensation deferral. Payments are made to participants either in a lump sum or in monthly installments not to exceed 10 years. Payments may commence upon the director's attainment of a certain age or upon the individual's termination of service. The expense incurred for the deferred compensation was \$17,000 and \$7,000 for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

First Federal of Hazard has an Employee Stock Ownership Plan ("ESOP") which provides retirement benefits for substantially all full-time employees who have completed one year of service and have attained the age of 21. Annual contributions are made to the ESOP equal to the ESOP's debt service less dividends received by the ESOP on unallocated shares. Shares in the ESOP were acquired using funds provided by a loan from the Company and, accordingly, the cost of those shares is shown as a reduction of stockholders' equity. Shares are released to participants proportionately as the loan is repaid. Dividends on allocated shares are recorded as dividends and charged to retained earnings. Dividends on unallocated shares are used to repay loan principal and accrued interest. Compensation expense is recorded equal to the fair value of shares committed to be released during a given fiscal year. Allocation of shares to the ESOP participants is contingent upon the repayment of a loan to Kentucky First Federal Bancorp totaling \$2.1 million and \$2.3 million at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Company recorded expense for the ESOP of approximately \$180,000 and \$171,000 for each of the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Shares may be surrendered from the plan as employees leave

June 30, 2012 and 2011

### NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

### 11. Retirement and Employee Benefit Plans: (continued)

employment. Total shares surrendered from the plan were 27,047 and 26,682 at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The amount contributed to the ESOP during the year just ended was \$324,000.

	For the fiscal year ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
Allocated shares Shares committed to be released Unearned shares Total ESOP shares	122,249 9,338 <u>178,339</u> <u>309,926</u>	100,961 10,445 <u>198,885</u> <u>310,291</u>
Fair value of unearned shares at end of period (dollars in thousands)	\$ <u>1,514</u>	\$ <u>1,790</u>

First Federal of Frankfort maintains a 401(k) plan for the benefit of all full-time employees. No employer contributions have been made to the 401(k) plan.

12. Share-Based Compensation Plans: Compensation cost is recognized for stock options and restricted stock awards issued to employees, based on the fair value of these awards at the date of the grant. A Black-Scholes model is utilized to estimate the fair value of stock options, while the market price of the Company's common stock at the date of the grant is used for restricted stock awards. Compensation cost is recognized over the required service period, generally defined as the vesting period. In fiscal 2006, the Company initiated the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan ("EIP" or the "Plan") which provides for two share-based compensation plans, which are described below.

No compensation cost was charged against income for those share-based plans for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, while \$160,000 was charged against income for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011. The total income tax benefit recognized in the statement of income for share-based compensation arrangements was \$54,000 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, while there was no income tax benefit recognized for the recent fiscal year ended.

The EIP provides for grants of up to 421,216 stock options. It also provides that one-fifth of the options granted become vested and exercisable on the first five anniversaries of the date of grant. The contractual term of the options is ten years. All option awards are granted with an exercise price equal to the market price of the Company's stock at the date of grant.

At June 30, 2012, the only options outstanding are related to those granted in the fiscal year 2006.

June 30, 2012 and 2011

### NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

### 12. Share-Based Compensation Plans: (continued)

A summary of the status of the Company's stock option plan as of June 30, 2012, and changes during the year then ended is presented below:

	Shares	Weighted- average exercise price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (\$000)
Outstanding at beginning of year	325,800	\$10.10	
Granted Exercised	-	-	
Forfeited	<del></del> -	<del>_</del>	
Outstanding at end of year	<u>325,800</u>	\$10.10	\$ <u></u>
Options exercisable at end of year	<u>325,800</u>	\$10.10	\$ <u></u>
Weighted average fair value of options g	granted		\$ <u>1.75</u>
Weighted average remaining contractual outstanding and exercisable	l term of option	ıs	<u>3.5 years</u>

Information related to the stock option plan during each year ended June 30, follows:

(in thousands)	<u> 2012</u>	<u>2011</u>
Intrinsic value of options exercised	\$ -	\$ -
Cash received from option exercises	-	-
Tax benefit realized from option exercises	-	_

As of June 30, 2012, there was no unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested stock options granted under the Plan.

The EIP also provides for the purchase of 168,486 shares of common stock and the issuance of such shares in the form of restricted stock awards to members of the board of directors, management and certain employees. Common shares awarded under the restricted stock plan vest over a five year period, commencing with the date of the grant and are expensed based on their fair value at the grant date.

June 30, 2012 and 2011

### NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

### 12. Share-Based Compensation Plans: (continued)

The following table summarizes the activity with regard to restricted stock awards during fiscal 2012:

		Weighted-average grant date
	Shares	fair value
Nonvested at July 1, 2011		\$
Vested		
Forfeited	<del></del>	
Nonvested at June 30, 2012		\$ <u></u>

As of June 30, 2012, there was no unrecognized compensation cost related to the restricted stock awards. The total fair value of shares vested during the year ended June 30, 2011 was \$237,000.

13. <u>Earnings Per Share</u>: Diluted earnings per share is computed taking into consideration common shares outstanding and dilutive potential common shares to be issued or released under the Company's share-based compensation plans. There is no adjustment to net earnings for the calculation of diluted earnings per share. The factors used in the basic and diluted earnings per share computations for the fiscal years ended June 30 follow:

(in thousands)	2012	2011
Net income	\$ 1,715	\$ 1,756
Less earnings allocated to unvested shares		7
Net income allocated to common shareholders, basic and diluted	<u>\$ 1,715</u>	<u>\$ 1,749</u>
	2012	2011
<u>Basic</u>		
Weighted-average common shares including unvested		
common shares outstanding	7,546,120	7,530,603
Less: Weighted-average unvested common shares	<del></del>	11,256
Weighted-average common shares outstanding	7,546,120	7,519,347
Diluted		
Add: Dilutive effect of assumed exercise of stock options	<del></del>	<del></del>
Weighted-average common shares outstanding (diluted)	<u>7,546,120</u>	<u>7,519,347</u>

Basic earnings per share is computed based upon the weighted-average shares outstanding during the year less shares in the ESOP that are unallocated and not committed to be released and unearned restricted stock. For fiscal years 2012 and 2011 all options were antidilutive, as the exercise price was greater than the average market price of the common stock.

June 30, 2012 and 2011

### NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

- 14. Fair Value of Assets and Liabilities: Fair value is the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. There are three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair values:
  - **Level 1** Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.
  - Level 2 Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.
  - Level 3 Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a company's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis and recognized in the accompanying statement of condition, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

### Securities

Where quoted market prices are available in an active market, securities are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. If quoted market prices are not available, then fair values are estimated by using matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities bur rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted securities (Level 2 inputs).

The following table presents the fair value measurements of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at June 30, 2012 and 2011. The securities represented are only those classified as available-for sale.

	<u>Fair Value Measurements Using</u> Quotes Prices			<u>Using</u>	
(in thousands)	Fair Value	in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Ot Obse Inj	ificant ther ervable puts evel 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs <u>(Level 3)</u>
2012 Agency mortgage-backed: residential	\$ 189	\$ -	\$	189	\$ -
2011 Agency mortgage-backed: residential	\$ 203	-		203	-

There were no transfers between levels 1 and 2.

June 30, 2012 and 2011

### NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

14. Fair Value of Assets and Liabilities (continued)

### **Impaired Loans**

The fair value of impaired loans with specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses is generally based on recent independent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are usually significant and typically result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value. Independent appraisals for collateral-dependent loans are updated periodically (usually every 9-12 months).

### Other Real Estate

Nonrecurring adjustments to real estate properties classified as other real estate owned ("OREO") are measured at fair value, less costs to sell. Fair values are based on recent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may use a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the independent appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are usually significant and typically result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value.

The following table presents the fair value measurements of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at June 30, 2012 and 2011.

		Fair Value Measurements Using		
(in thousands)	Fair Value	Quotes Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
<u>2012</u>				
Impaired loans	<b>#</b> 907	<b>a</b> r	<b>at</b>	¢ 907
One- to four-family	\$ 807	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 807
Multi-family	631	-	-	631
Other real estate owned, net	(40			<b>740</b>
One- to four-family	648	-	-	648
Multi-family	233	-	-	233
2011				
Impaired loans				
One- to four-family	\$ 1,033	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,033
Other real estate owned, net	" ,			. ,
One- to four-family	126	-	<u>-</u>	126
Multi-family	186	-	-	186
•				

Impaired loans, which are measured for impairment using the fair value of the collateral for collateral dependent loans, had a principal balance of \$1.5 million, with a valuation allowance of \$97,000 at June 30, 2012. At June 30, 2011, impaired loans had a principal balance of \$1.1 million, with a valuation allowance of \$55,000. A provision for loss on impaired loans of \$42,000 was made during fiscal 2012.

June 30, 2012 and 2011

### NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

### 14. Fair Value of Assets and Liabilities (continued)

Other real estate owned measured at fair value less costs to sell, had a carrying amount of \$881,000 and \$312,000 at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, after write-down of \$58,000 and \$71,000 for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The following table presents quantitative information about Level 3 fair value measurements for financial instruments measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis at June 30, 2012:

	Fair Value (in thousands)	Valuation Technique(s)	Unobservable Input(s)	Range (Weighted Average)
Impaired Loans:				
Residential real estate				
1-4 family	\$ 807	Sales comparison approach	Adjustments for differences between comparable sales	3.1% to 19.8% (4.3%)
Multi-family	631	Sales comparison approach	Adjustments for differences between comparable sales	5.9% to 15.0% (4.8%)
Foreclosed and repossessed assets:				
1-4 family	\$ 648	Sales comparison approach	Adjustments for differences between comparable sales	0.5% to 18.6% (8.6%)
Multi-family	233	Sales comparison approach	Adjustments for differences between comparable sales	20.2% to 38.9% (20.8%)

The following disclosure of the fair value of financial instruments, both assets and liabilities, whether or not recognized in the consolidated statement of financial condition, for which it is practicable to estimate that value. For financial instruments where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using present value and other valuation methods.

The methods used are greatly affected by the assumptions applied, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. Therefore, the fair values presented may not represent amounts that could be realized in an exchange for certain financial instruments.

The following methods were used to estimate the fair value of all other financial instruments recognized in the accompanying statements of financial condition at amounts other than fair value at June 30, 2012 and 2011:

<u>Cash and cash equivalents</u>: The carrying amounts presented in the consolidated statements of financial condition for cash and cash equivalents are deemed to approximate fair value.

<u>Held-to-maturity securities</u>: For held-to-maturity securities, fair value methods for securities were previously described.

<u>Loans held for sale</u>: Loans originated and intended for sale in the secondary market are carried at the lower of cost or fair value determined by FHLB pricing schedules.

June 30, 2012 and 2011

### NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

### 14. Fair Value of Assets and Liabilities (continued)

Loans: The loan portfolio has been segregated into categories with similar characteristics, such as one- to four-family residential, multi-family residential and nonresidential real estate. These loan categories were further delineated into fixed-rate and adjustable-rate loans. The fair values for the resultant loan categories were computed via discounted cash flow analysis, using current interest rates offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality. For loans on deposit accounts and consumer and other loans, fair values were deemed to equal the historic carrying values.

<u>Federal Home Loan Bank stock</u>: It was not practicable to determine the fair value of FHLB stock due to restrictions placed on its transferability.

Accrued interest receivable: The carrying amount is the estimated fair value.

<u>Deposits</u>: The fair value of NOW accounts, passbook accounts, and money market deposits are deemed to approximate the amount payable on demand. Fair values for fixed-rate certificates of deposit have been estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation using the interest rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities. The historical carrying amount of accrued interest payable on deposits is deemed to approximate fair value.

Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank: The fair value of these advances is estimated using the rates currently offered for similar advances of similar remaining maturities or, when available, quoted market prices.

Advances by borrowers for taxes and insurance and accrued interest payable: The carrying amount presented in the consolidated statement of financial condition is deemed to approximate fair value.

<u>Commitments to extend credit</u>: For fixed-rate and adjustable-rate loan commitments, the fair value estimate considers the difference between current levels of interest rates and committed rates. The fair value of outstanding loan commitments at June 30, 2012 and 2011, was not material.

June 30, 2012 and 2011

### NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

### 14. Fair Value of Assets and Liabilities (continued)

Based on the foregoing methods and assumptions, the carrying value and fair value of the Company's financial instruments at June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011 are as follows:

(in thousands)		Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2012 Using			
	Carrying Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Financial assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 5,735	\$ 5,735			\$ 5,735
Interest-earning deposits	100	100			100
Available-for-sale securities	189		<b>\$</b> 189		189
Held-to-maturity securities	4,756		5,144		5,144
Loans held for sale	481		500		500
Loans receivable - net	182,473			\$ 190,354	190,354
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	5,641				n/a
Accrued interest receivable	497			497	497
Financial liabilities					
Deposits	\$ 134,552	\$ 51,069	\$ 83,906		\$ 134,975
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	27,065		29,429		29,429
Advances by borrowers for taxes and insurance	487			<b>\$</b> 487	487
Accrued interest payable	64		64		64

Loans receivable represents the Company's most significant financial asset, which is in Level 3 for fair value measurements. A third party provides financial modeling for the Company and results are based on assumptions and factors determined by management. This process is different from the process performed by the Office of Thrift Supervision, which was utilized by the Company until December 31, 2011, when the service was discontinued.

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments at June 30, 2011, are as follows:

(in thousands)	Carrying value	Fair value
Financial assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 5,049	\$ 5,049
Interest-earning deposits	100	100
Available-for-sale securities	203	203
Held-to-maturity securities	6,810	7,257
Loans held for sale		
Loans receivable - net	182,796	190,183
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	5,641	n/a
Accrued interest receivable	538	538
Financial liabilities		
Deposits	<b>\$139,94</b> 0	\$141,408
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	25,261	23,797
Advances by borrowers for taxes and insurance	471	471
Accrued interest payable	91	91

June 30, 2012 and 2011

### NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

- 15. <u>Cash and Cash Equivalents</u>: For purposes of reporting cash flows, cash and cash equivalents include cash and due from banks and interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions with original maturities of less than ninety days.
- 16. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets: Goodwill resulting from business combinations prior to January 1, 2009 represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net assets of businesses acquired. Goodwill resulting from business combinations after January 1, 2009, is generally determined as the excess of the fair value of the consideration transferred, plus the fair value of any noncontrolling interests in the acquiree, over the fair value of the net assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the acquisition date. Goodwill and intangible assets acquired in a purchase business combination and determined to have an indefinite useful life are not amortized, but tested for impairment at least annually. The Company has selected March 31 as the date to perform the annual impairment test. Intangible assets with definite useful lives are amortized over their estimated useful lives to their estimated residual values. Goodwill is the only intangible asset with an indefinite life on our balance sheet.

The Company's core deposit intangible is being amortized on a straight-line basis over an original period of seven years. The carrying basis and accumulated amortization of recognized intangible assets at June 30, 2012 and 2011 is as follows:

(in thousands)	2012	2011
Core deposits		
Gross Carrying Amount	\$ 918	\$ 918
Accumulated Amortization	<u>918</u>	<u>831</u>
	\$ <u></u>	\$ <u>87</u>

Amortization expense for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$87,000 and \$131,000, respectively.

- 17. <u>Cash Surrender Value of Life Insurance</u>: First Federal of Frankfort has purchased life insurance policies on certain key executives. Bank-owned life insurance is recorded at the amount that can be realized under the insurance contract at the balance sheet date, which is the cash surrender value adjusted for other charges or other amounts due that are probable at settlement.
- 18. Treasury Stock: Treasury stock is stated at cost. Cost is determined by the first-in, first-out method.
- 19. <u>Related Party Transactions</u>: Loans outstanding to executive officers, directors, significant shareholders and their affiliates (related parties) at June 30, 2012 and 2011 are summarized as follows:

(in thousands)	2012	2011
Outstanding principal, beginning of year	\$ 865	\$ 593
Changes in composition of related parties		157
Principal disbursed during the year	53	156
Principal repaid and refinanced during the year	(121)	(41)
Outstanding principal, end of year	\$ <u>797</u>	\$ <u>865</u>

Deposits from related parties held by the Company at June 30, 2012 and 2011 totaled \$2.2 million and \$1.1 million, respectively.

June 30, 2012 and 2011

### NOTE A - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

- 20. <u>Comprehensive Income</u>: Comprehensive income consists of net income and other comprehensive income. Other comprehensive income includes unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale which are also recognized as separate components of equity.
- 21. <u>Loss Contingencies</u>: Loss contingencies, including claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business, are recorded as liabilities when the likelihood of loss is probable and an amount or range of loss can be reasonably estimated. Management does not believe there now are such matters that will have a material effect on the financial statements.
- 22. Equity: Stock dividends in excess of 20% are reported by transferring the par value of the stock issued from retained earnings to common stock. Stock dividends for 20% or less are reported by transferring the fair value, as of the ex-dividend date, of the stock issued from retained earnings to common stock and additional paid-in capital. Fractional share amounts are paid in cash with a reduction in retained earnings.
- 23. <u>Dividend Restriction</u>: Banking regulations require maintaining certain capital levels and may limit the dividends paid by the bank to the holding company or by the holding company to shareholders.
- 24. Operating Segments: While the chief decision-makers monitor the revenue streams of the various products and services, operations are managed and financial performance is evaluated on a Company-wide basis. Operating segments are aggregated into one as operating results for all segments are similar. Accordingly, all of the financial service operations are considered by management to be aggregated in one reportable operating segment.
- 25. <u>Reclassifications</u>: Some items in the prior year financial statements were reclassified to conform to the current presentation.
- 26. New Accounting Standards: In July 2012, the FASB issued an update (ASU No. 2012-02). The update will allow the Company to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is necessary to perform a quantitative impairment test. Under the update, the Company would not be required to calculate the fair value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset unless the Company determines, based on a qualitative assessment, that it is not more likely than not, the indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired. The update includes a number of events and circumstances for the Company to consider in conducting the qualitative assessment. The update is effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. Early adoption is permitted, including for annual and interim impairment tests performed as of a date before July 27, 2012, if the Company's financial statements for the most recent annual or interim period have not yet been issued. The Company did not elect early adoption of this update and has not evaluated to date if any future adoption will have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements or results of operations.

June 30, 2012 and 2011

### **NOTE B - SECURITIES**

The following table summarizes the amortized cost and fair value of the available for sale securities and held to maturity investment securities portfolio at June 30, 2012 and 2011 and the corresponding amounts of gross unrealized or unrecognized gains and losses. Unrealized gains or losses apply to available-for-sale securities and are recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income, while unrealized gains or losses on held-to-maturity securities are not recognized in the financial statements. The gains and losses are as follows:

(in thousands)	Amortized cost	2012 Gross unrealized/ unrecognized gains	Gross unrealized/ unrecognized losses	Estimated fair value
Available-for-sale Securities				
Agency mortgage-backed:residential	\$ 185	\$ 4	\$ -	\$ 189
Held-to-maturity Securities				
Agency mortgage-backed:residential	\$ 4,756	\$ 388	\$ -	\$ 5,144
		2011		
		Gross	Gross	
	Amortized	unrealized/ unrecognized	unrealized/ unrecognized	Estimated
(in thousands)	cost	gains	losses	fair value
Available-for-sale Securities				
Agency mortgage-backed:residential	<b>\$ 199</b>	\$ 4	\$ -	\$ 203
Held-to maturity Securities				
Agency mortgage-backed:residential	\$ 6,810	\$ 447	\$ -	\$ 7,257

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities, because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. Securities without a single maturity, primarily mortgage-backed, are shown separately.

	20	2011		
(in thousands)	Estimated fair value	Amortized cost	Estimated fair value	Amortized cost
Available-for-sale Securities Agency mortgage-backed:residential	\$ 189	<b>\$ 185</b>	\$ 203	\$ 199
Held-to maturity Securities Agency mortgage-backed:residential	\$ 5,144	<b>\$ 4,756</b>	\$ 7,257	\$ 6,810

June 30, 2012 and 2011

### **NOTE B – SECURITIES** (continued)

There were no sales of securities during the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012 or 2011. At June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company had no securities with unrealized losses.

There are no pledged securities at June 30, 2012 or 2011.

### **NOTE C - LOANS**

The composition of the loan portfolio at June 30 was as follows:

(in thousands)	2012	2011
Residential real estate		
One- to four-family	\$ 149,086	\$ 158,821
Multi-family	15,495	4,504
Construction	964	1,062
Nonresidential real estate and land	11,098	12,211
Loans on deposits	2,281	2,405
Consumer and other	_4,865	4,824
	183,789	183,827
Less:		
Undisbursed portion of loans in process	544	353
Deferred loan origination fees (cost)	(103)	(86)
Allowance for loan losses	<u>875</u>	<u>764</u>
	<u>\$182,473</u>	<b>\$182,796</b>

June 30, 2012 and 2011

### NOTE C - LOANS (continued)

The following tables present the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans by portfolio class and based on impairment method as of June 30, 2012 and 2011. There were no loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality at June 30, 2012 and 2011.

### June 30, 2012:

		Ending		
	Recorded	allowance		
	investment	attributed to	Unallocated	Total
(in thousands)	in loans	loans	allowance	allowance
Loans individually evaluated for impairment:				
Residential real estate:				
One- to four-family	\$ 2,757	\$ 97	\$	\$ 97
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment:				
Residential real estate:				
One- to four-family	\$ 146,329	\$ 468	\$	\$ 468
Multi-family	15,495	49		49
Construction	964	3		3
Nonresidential real estate and land	11,098	35		35
Loans on deposits	2,281	7		7
Consumer and other	4,865	16		16
Unallocated			_200	200
	<u> 181,032</u>	<u> 578</u>	_200	<u>778</u>
	<u>\$ 183,789</u>	<u>\$ 675</u>	<u>\$ 200</u>	<u>\$ 875</u>
June 30, 2011:				
•		Ending		
	Recorded	allowance		
	Recorded investment	_	Unallocated	Total
(in thousands)		allowance	Unallocated allowance	Total allowance
	investment	allowance attributed to		
(in thousands)	investment	allowance attributed to		
(in thousands) Loans individually evaluated for impairment:	investment	allowance attributed to		
(in thousands)  Loans individually evaluated for impairment:  Residential real estate:	investment in loans	allowance attributed to loans	allowance	allowance
(in thousands) Loans individually evaluated for impairment: Residential real estate: One- to four-family	investment in loans \$ 2,224	allowance attributed to loans	allowance	allowance
(in thousands) Loans individually evaluated for impairment: Residential real estate: One- to four-family  Loans collectively evaluated for impairment: Residential real estate: One- to four-family	investment in loans \$ 2,224 \$ 156,597	allowance attributed to loans	allowance	* 55 \$ 439
(in thousands) Loans individually evaluated for impairment: Residential real estate: One- to four-family  Loans collectively evaluated for impairment: Residential real estate:	investment in loans \$ 2,224 \$ 156,597 4,504	allowance attributed to loans	allowance	allowance
(in thousands) Loans individually evaluated for impairment: Residential real estate: One- to four-family  Loans collectively evaluated for impairment: Residential real estate: One- to four-family	investment in loans \$ 2,224 \$ 156,597 4,504 1,062	allowance attributed to loans  \$ 55	*	* 55 \$ 439
(in thousands) Loans individually evaluated for impairment: Residential real estate: One- to four-family  Loans collectively evaluated for impairment: Residential real estate: One- to four-family Multi-family	investment in loans \$ 2,224 \$ 156,597 4,504	allowance attributed to loans  \$ 55  \$ 439 13	\$	* 55 \$ 439 13
(in thousands) Loans individually evaluated for impairment: Residential real estate: One- to four-family  Loans collectively evaluated for impairment: Residential real estate: One- to four-family Multi-family Construction	investment in loans \$ 2,224 \$ 156,597 4,504 1,062	allowance attributed to loans  \$ 55  \$ 439 13 3	\$	\$ 55 \$ 439 13 3
(in thousands) Loans individually evaluated for impairment: Residential real estate: One- to four-family  Loans collectively evaluated for impairment: Residential real estate: One- to four-family Multi-family Construction Nonresidential real estate and land	investment in loans \$ 2,224 \$ 156,597 4,504 1,062 12,211	allowance attributed to loans  \$ 55  \$ 439 13 3 34	\$	\$ 55 \$ 439 13 3
(in thousands) Loans individually evaluated for impairment: Residential real estate: One- to four-family  Loans collectively evaluated for impairment: Residential real estate: One- to four-family Multi-family Construction Nonresidential real estate and land Loans on deposits	\$ 2,224 \$ 2,224 \$ 156,597 4,504 1,062 12,211 2,405 4,824	* \$ 439 13 3 44 7 13	\$ 200	\$ 55 \$ 439 13 3 34 7
(in thousands) Loans individually evaluated for impairment: Residential real estate: One- to four-family  Loans collectively evaluated for impairment: Residential real estate: One- to four-family Multi-family Construction Nonresidential real estate and land Loans on deposits Consumer and other	\$ 2,224 \$ 2,224 \$ 156,597 4,504 1,062 12,211 2,405 4,824	allowance attributed to loans  \$ 55  \$ 439 13 3 44 7 13	\$	\$ 55 \$ 439 13 3 4 7 13

June 30, 2012 and 2011

### **NOTE C - LOANS** (continued)

The following tables present impaired loans by class of loans as of and for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

June 30, 2012:  (in thousands)	Unpaid Principal Balance and Recorded Investment	Allowance for Loan Losses Allocated	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized	Cash Basis Income Recognized
With no related allowance recorded: One- to four-family	\$ 1,222	\$	\$ 889	\$ 45	<b>\$</b> 45
With an allowance recorded:					
One- to four-family	1,535 \$2,757	97 \$ 97	<u>1,434</u> \$ 2,323	<u>27</u> \$ 72	<u>27</u> \$ 72
June 30, 2011:	Unpaid Principal Balance and	Allowance for Loan	Average	Interest	
(in thousands)	Recorded Investment	Losses Allocated	Recorded Investment	Income Recognized	Cash Received
With no related allowance recorded:					
One- to four-family	\$ 1,136	\$	\$ 1,296	\$ 44	<b>\$ 4</b> 7
With an allowance recorded:					
One- to four-family	1,088 2,224	<u>55</u> \$ 55	1,213 \$ 2,509	<u>33</u> \$ 77	<u>33</u> \$ 77

The following tables present the recorded investment in nonaccrual and loans past due over 90 days still on accrual by class of loans as of June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	<u>June 30, 2012</u>		<u>June 30, 2</u>	<u>2011</u>
		Loans Past		Loans Past
		Due Over 90		Due Over 90
		Days Still		Days Still
(in thousands)	Nonaccrual	Accruing	Nonaccrual	Accruing
One- to four-family residential real estate	<b>\$ 1,593</b>	\$ 201	\$ 876	\$ -

June 30, 2012 and 2011

### **NOTE C - LOANS** (continued)

### **Troubled Debt Restructurings:**

During the year ended June 30, 2012, the terms of four loans were modified as a troubled debt restructuring. The modification of the terms of two loans involved extending the maturity dates of the loans, which resulted in lower monthly payments for the borrowers. The interest rate on one loan was reduced from 5.5% to 5.47% and \$9,000 in additional credit was extended.

In order to determine whether a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty, we consider the probability that the borrower will be in payment default on any of its debt in the foreseeable future without the modification. This evaluation is performed under the Company's internal underwriting policy.

The following table presents loans by class modified as TDRs during the year ended June 30, 2012, and their performance, by modification type:

		Pre-	Post-		TDRs Not
		Modification	Modification	TDRs	Performing
		Outstanding	Outstanding	Performing	to
	Number	Recorded	Recorded	to Modified	Modified
	of Loans	Investment	Investment	Terms	Terms
n il iln in					
Residential Real Estate:					
1-4 Family	4	<b>\$</b> 179	\$188	\$187	\$ -

The Company had allocated \$2,000 of specific reserves to customers whose loan terms have been modified in troubled debt restructurings as of June 30, 2012, and had \$6,000 of specific reserves at June 30, 2011. The Company has no commitments to lend additional amounts as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, to customers with outstanding loans that are classified as troubled debt restructurings.

The following tables present the aging of the principal balance outstanding in past due loans as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, by class of loans:

### June 30, 2012:

(in thousands)	30-89 Days Past Due	Greater than 90 Days Past Due	Total Past Due	Loans Not Past Due	Total
Residential real estate:					
One-to four-family	\$ 4,332	<b>\$ 1,</b> 794	\$ 6,126	\$142,960	\$149,086
Multi-family				15,495	15,495
Construction				964	964
Nonresidential real estate and land				11,098	11,098
Loans on deposits				2,281	2,281
Consumer and other				<u>4,865</u>	<u>4,865</u>
Total	<u>\$4,332</u>	<u>\$ 1,794</u>	<u>\$ 6,126</u>	<b>\$177,663</b>	<u>\$ 183,789</u>

June 30, 2012 and 2011

### NOTE C - LOANS (continued)

June 30, 2011:

(in thousands)	30-89 Days Past Due	Greater than 90 Days Past Due	Total Past Due	Loans Not Past Due	Total
Residential real estate:					
One-to four-family	\$ 3,181	\$ 876	<b>\$4,</b> 057	\$154,764	\$158,821
Multi-family				4,504	4,504
Construction				1,062	1,062
Nonresidential real estate and land				12,211	12,211
Loans on deposits				2,405	2,405
Consumer and other				<u>4,824</u>	<u>4,824</u>
Total	<u>\$3,181</u>	<u>\$ 876</u>	<b>\$4,057</b>	<b>\$1</b> 79 <b>,</b> 770	<b>\$ 183,827</b>

### **Credit Quality Indicators:**

The Company categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. The Company analyzes loans individually by classifying the loans as to credit risk. This analysis is performed on an annual basis. The Company uses the following definitions for risk ratings:

**Special Mention.** Loans classified as special mention have a potential weakness that deserves management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loan or of the institution's credit position at some future date.

**Substandard.** Loans classified as substandard are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

**Doubtful.** Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable.

Loans not meeting the criteria above that are analyzed individually as part of the above-described process are considered to be pass rated loans. Loans listed that are not rated are included in groups of homogeneous loans and are evaluated for credit quality based on performing status. See the aging of past due loan table above. As of June 30, 2012, and based on the most recent analysis performed, the risk category of loans by class of loans is as follows:

June 30, 2012 and 2011

### NOTE C - LOANS (continued)

June 30, 2012:						
		Special		Not		
(in thousands)	Pass	Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	rated	
Residential real estate:						
One- to four-family	\$	\$ 64	\$ 3,057	\$	\$145,965	
Multi-family	12,692		2,803			
Construction	964					
Nonresidential real estate and land	10,831	267				
Loans on deposits					2,281	
Consumer and other					4,865	
June 30, 2011:		Special			Not	
(in thousands)	Pass	Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	rated	
Residential real estate:						
One- to four-family	\$	\$ 67	\$ 2,180	\$	\$ 156,574	
Multi-family	4,504					
Construction	1,062					
Nonresidential real estate and land	11,943	268				
Loans on deposits					2,405	
Consumer and other					4,824	
Commentation of the Comment					1,0	

The activity in the allowance for loan losses is summarized as follows for the years ended June 30:

(in thousands)	2012	2011
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 764	\$ 1,535
Provision for losses on loans	139	668
Charge-offs	(28)	(1,439)
Balance at end of year	<u>\$ 875</u>	<u>\$ 764</u>

June 30, 2012 and 2011

### NOTE C - LOANS (continued)

The following tables present the activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

### June 30, 2012:

		Provision			
	Beginning	for loan	Loans		Ending
(in thousands)	balance	losses	charged off	Recoveries	balance
Residential real estate:					
One- to four-family	\$ 490	\$ 79	\$ (4)	\$	\$ 565
Multi-family	11	38			49
Construction	5	(2)			3
Nonresidential real estate and land	36	(1)			35
Loans on deposits	8	(1)			7
Consumer and other	14	26	(24)		16
Unallocated	_200				<u>200</u>
Totals	\$ <u>764</u>	\$ <u>139</u>	\$ <u>(28)</u>	\$	\$ <u>875</u>

### June 30, 2011:

•		Provision			
	Beginning	for loan	Loans		Ending
(in thousands)	balance	losses	charged off	Recoveries	balance
Residential real estate:					
One- to four-family	\$ 1,261	\$ 668	\$ (1,439)	\$	\$ 490
Multi-family	11				11
Construction	5				5
Nonresidential real estate and land	36				36
Loans on deposits	8				8
Consumer and other	14				14
Unallocated	<u>200</u>			_==	<u>_200</u>
Totals	\$ <u>1,535</u>	\$ <u>_668</u>	\$ <u>(1,439</u> )	\$ <u></u>	\$ <u>_764</u>

### NOTE D - REAL ESTATE OWNED

Activity in real estate owned for the years ended June 30 was as follows:

(in thousands)	2012	2011
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 4,304	\$ 748
Additions	96	4,643
Impairment charges	(67)	(71)
Disposals	<u>(1,888</u> )	(1,016)
Balance at end of year	<u>\$ 2,445</u>	<u>\$ 4,304</u>

June 30, 2012 and 2011

### NOTE E - PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT

Premises and equipment at June 30 are comprised of the following:

(in thousands)	2012	2011
Land	\$ 860	\$ 860
Buildings and improvements	3,842	3,747
Furniture and equipment	1,097	1,126
Automobiles	29	29
	5,828	5,762
Less: accumulated depreciation	<u>3,184</u>	<u>3,095</u>
Balance at end of year	<u>\$ 2,644</u>	<u>\$ 2,667</u>

### **NOTE F - DEPOSITS**

Deposits consist of the following major classifications at June 30:

(in thousands)	2012	2011
Non-interest bearing checking accounts	\$ 1,704	\$ 996
Checking accounts	7,615	6,965
Savings accounts	36,913	34,147
Money market demand deposits	<u>4,837</u>	<u>4,796</u>
Total demand, transaction and passbook deposits	51,069	46,904
Certificates of deposit:		
Original maturities of:		
Less than 12 months	3,940	5,019
12 months to 36 months	72,405	81,075
More than 36 months	<u>_7,138</u>	6,942
Total certificates of deposit	<u>83,483</u>	93,036
Total deposits	<u>\$ 134,552</u>	<u>\$ 139,940</u>

At June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Banks had certificate of deposit accounts with balances equal to or in excess of \$100,000 totaling approximately \$28.7 million and \$32.0 million, respectively.

Maturities of outstanding certificates of deposit at June 30 are summarized as follows:

(in thousands)	2012
2013	\$ 56,068
2014	15,050
2015	9,649
2016	1,675
2017 and thereafter	<u>_1,041</u>
	<u>\$ 83,483</u>

June 30, 2012 and 2011

### NOTE G - ADVANCES FROM THE FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK

Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank, collateralized at June 30, 2012 and 2011 by pledges of certain residential mortgage loans totaling \$38.2 million and \$37.6 million, respectively, and the Banks' investment in Federal Home Loan Bank stock, are summarized as follows:

### Maturing year ended June 30, 2012

(in thousands)	
2013	\$ 9,810
2014	7,555
2015	2,366
2016	623
2017	431
2018	2,328
2019	3,249
2020-2030	<u>703</u>
	\$ 27,065
Premium assigned to borrowings in Frankfort First acquisition,	
net of amortization	
	<u>\$ 27,065</u>

At June 30, 2012 interest rates for advances were fixed ranging from 1.11% to 6.95%, with a weighted-average interest rate of 2.27%.

### Maturing year ended June 30, 2011

(in thousands)	
2012	\$ 3,184
2013	7,810
2014	7,555
2015	2,366
2016	623
2017	431
2018	2,328
2019-2030	<u>952</u>
	\$ 25,249
Premium assigned to borrowings in Frankfort First acquisition,	
net of amortization	12
	<u>\$ 25,261</u>

At June 30, 2011 interest rates for advances were fixed ranging from 1.11% to 6.95%, with a weighted-average interest rate of 2.50%.

Each advance is payable at its maturity date, with a prepayment penalty for fixed rate advances. Based on collateral composed of first mortgage loans and the Company's holdings of FHLB stock, the Company was eligible to borrow up to \$65.9 million as of June 30, 2012.

June 30, 2012 and 2011

### **NOTE H - FEDERAL INCOME TAXES**

Federal income taxes on earnings differs from that computed at the statutory corporate tax rate for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, as follows:

(in thousands)	2012	2011
Federal income taxes at the statutory rate	\$869	\$632
Increase (decrease) resulting primarily from:		
Cash surrender value of life insurance	(30)	(30)
Compensation expense	-	4
Other	1	-
Allowed deduction claimed on amended return for \$1.3 million		
paid to option holders in acquisition of Frankfort First Bancorp, Inc.		
and other IRS audit adjustments		<u>(502</u> )
	\$ <u>840</u>	\$ <u>104</u>

The composition of the Company's net deferred tax liability at June 30 is as follows:

(in thousands)	2012	2011
Taxes (payable) refundable on temporary differences at estimated corporate		
tax rate:		
Deferred tax assets:		
General loan loss allowance	\$ 297	\$ 260
Deferred compensation and benefits	257	258
Charitable contributions	3	3
Purchase accounting adjustments		4
Nonaccrued interest on loans	21	8
Other real estate owned adjustments	<u>259</u>	80
Total deferred tax assets	837	613
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Federal Home Loan Bank stock dividends	(1,074)	(1,074)
Deferred loan origination costs	(32)	(39)
Loan servicing rights	(12)	(11)
Other	()	(2)
Purchase accounting adjustments	(463)	(248)
Depreciation	_(30)	(260)
Total deferred tax liabilities	<u>(1,611</u> )	(1,634)
Net deferred tax liability	<u>\$ (774</u> )	<u>\$ (1,021)</u>

The 2011 provision for federal income taxes included a credit of \$502,000, which related to a refund provided by the Internal Revenue Service and a reversal of an uncertain tax position. This credit is not expected to reoccur.

June 30, 2012 and 2011

### NOTE H - FEDERAL INCOME TAXES (continued)

Prior to 1997, the Banks were allowed a special bad debt deduction, generally limited to 8% of otherwise taxable income, and subject to certain limitations based on aggregate loans and deposit account balances at the end of the year. If the amounts that qualified as deductions for federal income taxes are later used for purposes other than bad debt losses, including distributions in excess of accumulated earnings and profits, such distributions will be subject to federal income taxes at the then current corporate income tax rate. Retained earnings at June 30, 2012, include approximately \$5.2 million for which federal income taxes have not been provided. The amount of unrecognized deferred tax liability relating to the cumulative bad debt deduction was approximately \$1.8 million at June 30, 2012.

### Unrecognized Tax Benefits

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

(in thousands)	2012	2011
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 80	\$ 253
Additions/(reductions) based on tax positions for the current year	<u>(80</u> )	<u>(173</u> )
Balance at end of year	<u>\$</u>	\$ 80

Cash settlements occurred during the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012. Because of uncertainty regarding the proper inclusion or exclusion of income from the Company's bank-owned life insurance ("BOLI") in the earnings and profits calculation, the Company amended its June 30, 2009 federal return to report additional tax liability of \$80,000 and reversed the corresponding reserve. The Company expects its unrecognized benefits will change in the next twelve months. The Company has amended its 2009 tax return claiming that its BOLI income is includable in the earnings and profits calculation and that it is entitled to a refund of the \$80,000.

### **NOTE I - LOAN COMMITMENTS**

The Banks are a party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of their customers, including commitments to extend credit. Such commitments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest-rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated statements of financial condition. The contract or notional amounts of the commitments reflect the extent of the Banks' involvement in such financial instruments.

The Banks' exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit is represented by the contractual notional amount of those instruments. The Banks use the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as those utilized for on-balance-sheet instruments.

At June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Banks had outstanding commitments of approximately \$1.8 million and \$1.7 million, respectively, to originate mortgage loans. Additionally, First Federal of Frankfort was obligated under unused lines of credit for one- to four-family residential equity loans totaling \$8.3 million and \$8.9 million at the end of fiscal years 2012 and 2011, respectively. Commitments to make loans are generally made for periods of 60 days or less. The fixed rate loan commitments at June 30, 2012 totaled \$592,000 and had interest rates ranging from 4.53% to 7.25% and maturities ranging from 10 years to 30 years, while the fixed rate loan commitments at June 30, 2011 totaled \$614,000 and had interest rates ranging from 4.63% to 8.0% with maturities ranging from 15 years to 30 years.

June 30, 2012 and 2011

### **NOTE J - REGULATORY CAPITAL**

The Banks are subject to minimum regulatory capital standards promulgated by the Office of the Controller of the Currency (the "OCC"), the successor to the Office of Thrift Supervision (the "OTS"). Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory — and possibly additional discretionary — actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the consolidated financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Banks must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Banks' assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Banks' capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

The minimum capital standards of the OCC generally require the maintenance of regulatory capital sufficient to meet each of three tests, hereinafter described as the tangible capital requirement, the core capital requirement and the risk-based capital requirement. The core capital requirement provides for minimum core capital (tangible capital plus certain forms of supervisory goodwill and other qualifying intangible assets) generally equal to 4.0% of adjusted total assets, except for those associations with the highest examination rating and acceptable levels of risk. The risk-based capital requirement provides for the maintenance of core capital plus general loss allowances equal to 8.0% of risk-weighted assets. In computing risk-weighted assets, the Banks multiply the value of each asset on their respective statements of financial condition by a defined risk-weighting factor, e.g., one- to four-family residential loans carry a risk-weighted factor of 50%.

During fiscal 2011, the Banks were notified by the OTS that each was categorized as "well-capitalized" under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. Although the OCC has not notified the Company of the classification of its capital status since it succeeded the OTS, there are no conditions or events since the OTS notification that management believes has changed the Banks' categories. The transfer to the OCC resulted is somewhat different capital ratios than reported by the OTS. To be categorized as "well-capitalized" the Banks must maintain minimum capital ratios as set forth in the following tables:

### NOTE J - REGULATORY CAPITAL (continued)

### As of June 30, 2012

To be "well-

			For ca		capitalized	d" under orrective
	Actu		adequacy 1		action pro	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount (Dollars in th	Ratio nousands)	Amount	Ratio
			•	,		
Tier 1 core capital						
First Federal of Hazard	\$20,764	21.2%	≥\$3,926	≥4.0%	≥\$4,908	≥ 5.0%
First Federal of Frankfort	\$19,543	15.9%	≥\$5,511	≥4.0%	≥\$6,889	≥ 5.0%
Consolidated	\$44,333	21.3%	≥\$8,918	≥4.0%	N/A	N/A
Total risk-based capital						
First Federal of Hazard	\$21,185	42.2%	≥\$4,013	≥8.0%	≥\$5,016	≥10.0%
First Federal of Frankfort	\$19,997	28.7%	≥\$5,566	≥8.0%	≥\$6,958	≥10.0%
Consolidated	\$45,208	38.6%	≥\$9,377	≥8.0%	N/A	N/A
Tier 1 risk-based capital						
First Federal of Hazard	\$20,764	41.4%	N/A	N/A	≥\$3,010	≥ 6.0%
First Federal of Frankfort	\$19,543	28.1%	N/A	N/A	≥\$4,175	≥ 6.0%
Consolidated	\$44,333	37.8%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Consolidated	Ψ T 1,555	37.070	14/ 21	14/11	14/11	11/11
		As of June	2011		T- 1- (	
					To be	
			_		capitalize	
	A	1	For ca	-	prompt c	
	Acti		<u>adequacy</u>	<u>purposes</u>	action pro	ovisions
	Actu Amount	nal Ratio	adequacy Amount	purposes Ratio		
Tangible capital			<u>adequacy</u>	purposes Ratio	action pro	ovisions
<b>Tangible capital</b> First Federal of Hazard	Amount	Ratio	adequacy : Amount (Dollars in the	purposes Ratio housands)	action pro Amount	ovisions Ratio
First Federal of Hazard	<b>Amount</b> \$19,629	<b>Ratio</b> 19.3%	adequacy : Amount (Dollars in the second se	purposes Ratio housands) ≥1.5%	action pro Amount  N/A	Ratio N/A
_	Amount	Ratio	adequacy : Amount (Dollars in the	purposes Ratio housands)	action pro Amount	ovisions Ratio
First Federal of Hazard First Federal of Frankfort Consolidated	\$19,629 \$18,151	Ratio 19.3% 14.6%	adequacy : Amount (Dollars in the state of	purposes Ratio housands) ≥1.5% ≥1.5%	Amount  N/A N/A	N/A N/A
First Federal of Hazard First Federal of Frankfort Consolidated  Tier 1 core capital	\$19,629 \$18,151 \$44,100	19.3% 14.6% 20.8%	adequacy : Amount (Dollars in the state of	Purposes Ratio housands)  ≥1.5% ≥1.5% ≥1.5%	Amount  N/A  N/A  N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A
First Federal of Hazard First Federal of Frankfort Consolidated  Tier 1 core capital First Federal of Hazard	\$19,629 \$18,151 \$44,100 \$19,629	19.3% 14.6% 20.8%	adequacy : Amount (Dollars in the state of	Purposes Ratio housands)  ≥1.5% ≥1.5% ≥1.5%  ≥4.0%	Amount  N/A N/A N/A N/A ≥\$5,084	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
First Federal of Hazard First Federal of Frankfort Consolidated  Tier 1 core capital	\$19,629 \$18,151 \$44,100	19.3% 14.6% 20.8%	adequacy : Amount (Dollars in the state of	Purposes Ratio housands)  ≥1.5% ≥1.5% ≥1.5%	Amount  N/A  N/A  N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A
First Federal of Hazard First Federal of Frankfort Consolidated  Tier 1 core capital First Federal of Hazard First Federal of Frankfort Consolidated	\$19,629 \$18,151 \$44,100 \$19,629 \$18,151	19.3% 14.6% 20.8% 19.3% 14.6%	adequacy : Amount (Dollars in the state of	Purposes Ratio housands)  ≥1.5% ≥1.5% ≥1.5%  ≥4.0% ≥4.0%	action pro Amount  N/A N/A N/A N/A ≥\$5,084 ≥\$6,225	N/A N/A N/A N/A ≥ 5.0% ≥ 5.0%
First Federal of Hazard First Federal of Frankfort Consolidated  Tier 1 core capital First Federal of Hazard First Federal of Frankfort Consolidated  Total risk-based capital	\$19,629 \$18,151 \$44,100 \$19,629 \$18,151 \$44,100	19.3% 14.6% 20.8% 19.3% 14.6% 20.8%	adequacy: Amount (Dollars in the state of t	Purposes Ratio housands)  ≥1.5% ≥1.5% ≥1.5%  ≥4.0% ≥4.0%	action pro Amount  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  ≥\$5,084  ≥\$6,225  N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A ≥ 5.0% ≥ 5.0% N/A
First Federal of Hazard First Federal of Frankfort Consolidated  Tier 1 core capital First Federal of Hazard First Federal of Frankfort Consolidated  Total risk-based capital First Federal of Hazard	\$19,629 \$18,151 \$44,100 \$19,629 \$18,151 \$44,100	19.3% 14.6% 20.8% 19.3% 14.6% 20.8%	adequacy: Amount (Dollars in the state of t	Purposes Ratio housands)  ≥1.5% ≥1.5% ≥1.5%  ≥4.0% ≥4.0% ≥4.0%	action pro Amount  N/A N/A N/A N/A  ≥\$5,084 ≥\$6,225 N/A  ≥\$4,927	N/A N/A N/A N/A ≥ 5.0% ≥ 5.0% N/A
First Federal of Hazard First Federal of Frankfort Consolidated  Tier 1 core capital First Federal of Hazard First Federal of Frankfort Consolidated  Total risk-based capital First Federal of Hazard First Federal of Frankfort	\$19,629 \$18,151 \$44,100 \$19,629 \$18,151 \$44,100 \$19,939 \$18,564	19.3% 14.6% 20.8% 19.3% 14.6% 20.8% 40.5% 27.0%	adequacy: Amount (Dollars in the state of t	Purposes Ratio housands)  ≥1.5% ≥1.5% ≥1.5%  ≥4.0% ≥4.0% ≥4.0% ≥8.0%	action pro Amount  N/A N/A N/A N/A  ≥\$5,084 ≥\$6,225 N/A  ≥\$4,927 ≥\$6,881	N/A N/A N/A N/A ≥ 5.0% ≥ 5.0% N/A ≥10.0%
First Federal of Hazard First Federal of Frankfort Consolidated  Tier 1 core capital First Federal of Hazard First Federal of Frankfort Consolidated  Total risk-based capital First Federal of Hazard	\$19,629 \$18,151 \$44,100 \$19,629 \$18,151 \$44,100	19.3% 14.6% 20.8% 19.3% 14.6% 20.8%	adequacy: Amount (Dollars in the state of t	Purposes Ratio housands)  ≥1.5% ≥1.5% ≥1.5%  ≥4.0% ≥4.0% ≥4.0%	action pro Amount  N/A N/A N/A N/A  ≥\$5,084 ≥\$6,225 N/A  ≥\$4,927	N/A N/A N/A N/A ≥ 5.0% ≥ 5.0% N/A
First Federal of Hazard First Federal of Frankfort Consolidated  Tier 1 core capital First Federal of Hazard First Federal of Frankfort Consolidated  Total risk-based capital First Federal of Hazard First Federal of Frankfort Consolidated  Tier 1 risk-based capital	\$19,629 \$18,151 \$44,100 \$19,629 \$18,151 \$44,100 \$19,939 \$18,564 \$44,864	19.3% 14.6% 20.8% 19.3% 14.6% 20.8% 40.5% 27.0% 39.0%	adequacy: Amount (Dollars in the state of t	Purposes Ratio housands)  ≥1.5% ≥1.5% ≥1.5%  ≥4.0% ≥4.0% ≥4.0% ≥8.0% ≥8.0%	action pro Amount  N/A N/A N/A N/A  ≥\$5,084 ≥\$6,225 N/A  ≥\$4,927 ≥\$6,881 N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A ≥ 5.0% ≥ 5.0% N/A ≥10.0% N/A
First Federal of Hazard First Federal of Frankfort Consolidated  Tier 1 core capital First Federal of Hazard First Federal of Frankfort Consolidated  Total risk-based capital First Federal of Hazard First Federal of Frankfort Consolidated  Tier 1 risk-based capital First Federal of Hazard	\$19,629 \$18,151 \$44,100 \$19,629 \$18,151 \$44,100 \$19,939 \$18,564 \$44,864	19.3% 14.6% 20.8% 19.3% 14.6% 20.8% 40.5% 27.0% 39.0%	adequacy: Amount (Dollars in the state of t	Purposes Ratio housands)  ≥1.5% ≥1.5% ≥1.5%  ≥4.0% ≥4.0% ≥4.0% ≥8.0% ≥8.0%  N/A	Amount  N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A  ≥\$5,084 ≥\$6,225 N/A  ≥\$4,927 ≥\$6,881 N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A ≥ 5.0% ≥ 5.0% N/A ≥10.0% N/A ≥ 6.0%
First Federal of Hazard First Federal of Frankfort Consolidated  Tier 1 core capital First Federal of Hazard First Federal of Frankfort Consolidated  Total risk-based capital First Federal of Hazard First Federal of Frankfort Consolidated  Tier 1 risk-based capital	\$19,629 \$18,151 \$44,100 \$19,629 \$18,151 \$44,100 \$19,939 \$18,564 \$44,864	19.3% 14.6% 20.8% 19.3% 14.6% 20.8% 40.5% 27.0% 39.0%	adequacy: Amount (Dollars in the state of t	Purposes Ratio housands)  ≥1.5% ≥1.5% ≥1.5%  ≥4.0% ≥4.0% ≥4.0% ≥8.0% ≥8.0%	action pro Amount  N/A N/A N/A N/A  ≥\$5,084 ≥\$6,225 N/A  ≥\$4,927 ≥\$6,881 N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A ≥ 5.0% ≥ 5.0% N/A ≥10.0% N/A

June 30, 2012 and 2011

### NOTE J - REGULATORY CAPITAL (continued)

As of June 30, 2012 and 2011, management believes that First Federal of Hazard and First Federal of Frankfort met all capital adequacy requirements to which the Banks were subject.

The Banks' management believes that, under the current regulatory capital regulations, both Banks will continue to meet their minimum capital requirements in the foreseeable future. However, events beyond the control of the Banks, such as increased interest rates or a downturn in the economy in the Banks' market area, could adversely affect future earnings and, consequently, the ability to meet future minimum regulatory capital requirements.

Regulations of the OCC governing mutual holding companies require First Federal MHC to meet certain criteria before the company may waive the receipt by it of any common stock dividend declared by Kentucky First Federal Bancorp. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, and pursuant to the provisions allowed by the OCC, First Federal MHC waived \$1.4 million in dividends.

## NOTE K - CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF KENTUCKY FIRST FEDERAL BANCORP

The following condensed financial statements summarize the financial position of Kentucky First Federal Bancorp as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

### KENTUCKY FIRST FEDERAL BANCORP BALANCE SHEETS

June 30, 2012 and 2011

(In thousands)		
ASSETS	2012	2011
Interest-bearing deposits in First Federal of Hazard Interest-bearing deposits in First Federal of Frankfort Other interest-bearing deposits Investment in First Federal of Hazard Investment in Frankfort First Prepaid expenses and other assets	\$ 425 427 39 22,640 34,977 456	\$ 604 2,513 37 21,708 33,671 
Total assets	\$ <u>58,964</u>	\$ <u>59,054</u>
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Accounts payable and other liabilities	\$ <u>111</u>	\$ <u>357</u>
Total liabilities	111	357
Shareholders' equity Common stock Additional paid-in capital	86 36,870	86 36,907
Retained earnings	31,971	31,860
Shares acquired by stock benefit plans Shares acquired for treasury – at cost Accumulated other comprehensive income Total shareholders' equity	$ \begin{array}{r} (1,772) \\ (8,305) \\ \underline{3} \\ \underline{58,853} \end{array} $	(1,989) (8,170) -3 58,697
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ <u>58,964</u>	\$ <u>59,054</u>

June 30, 2012 and 2011

# NOTE K - CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF KENTUCKY FIRST FEDERAL BANCORP (continued)

# KENTUCKY FIRST FEDERAL BANCORP STATEMENTS OF INCOME

Years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (Dollar amounts in thousands)

	2012	2011
Income		
Interest income	\$ 121	<b>\$</b> 164
Dividends from First Federal of Hazard		
Equity in undistributed (excess distributed) earnings of		
First Federal of Hazard	886	644
Dividends from Frankfort First		378
Equity in undistributed earnings of		
Frankfort First	<u>1,306</u>	$\frac{-964}{2,150}$
Total income	2,313	2,150
Non-interest expenses	<u>838</u>	_547
Earnings before income taxes	1,475	1,603
Federal income tax expense (benefit)	<u>(240)</u>	_(153)
NET INCOME	\$ <u>1,715</u>	\$ <u>1,756</u>

# KENTUCKY FIRST FEDERAL BANCORP STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For Years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (Dollar amounts in thousands)

	<u> 2012</u>	<u>2011</u>
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net earnings for the year	\$ 1,715	\$ 1,756
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net		
cash provided by operating activities:		
Excess (deficit) distributions over earnings (undistributed earnings)		
from consolidated subsidiaries	(2,192)	(1,608)
Noncash compensation expense	134	363
Increase (decrease) in cash due to changes in:		
Prepaid expenses and other assets	65	(235)
Other liabilities	_(246)	7
Net cash provided by operating activities	(524)	283

June 30, 2012 and 2011

# NOTE K - CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF KENTUCKY FIRST FEDERAL BANCORP (continued)

# KENTUCKY FIRST FEDERAL BANCORP STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (continued)

For Years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (Dollar Amounts in thousands)

	<u> 2012</u>	<u>2011</u>
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Dividends paid on common stock	(1,604)	(1,112)
Repurchase of treasury shares	<u>(135</u> )	(218)
Net cash used in financing activities	( <u>1,739</u> )	( <u>1,330</u> )
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(2,263)	(1,047)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	<u>3,154</u>	4,201
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ <u>891</u>	\$ <u>3,154</u>

Prior to July 21, 2011, the Banks were subject to regulations imposed by the OTS regarding the amount of capital distributions payable to the Company. Generally, the Banks' payments of dividends were limited, without prior OTS approval, to net earnings for the current calendar year plus the two preceding calendar years, less capital distributions paid over the comparable time period.

### **NOTE L – PENDING MERGER (Unaudited)**

On November 3, 2011, the Company entered into an agreement of merger with CKF Bancorp, Inc. ("CKF") and Central Kentucky Federal Savings Bank, whereby CKF will merge with and into the Company with the Company being the surviving corporation. In connection with the merger, Central Kentucky Federal Savings Bank will merge into First Federal of Frankfort and will operate under the name "Central Kentucky Federal Savings Bank" as a division of First Federal of Frankfort. The CKF Bancorp, Inc. stockholders, who can elect to receive cash, the Company's stock, or a combination of cash and the Company's stock, approved the merger on July 3, 2012. The merger is also subject to regulatory approval and Kentucky First has filed the appropriate applications with its primary regulator, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. As of June 30, 2012, CKF Bancorp, Inc., maintained \$125.3 million in assets, \$101.8 million in net loans, \$103.1 million in deposits and \$12.8 million in tangible equity capital. The merger consideration is expected to be valued at \$11.6 million and the combination is expected to be completed during the fourth quarter of 2012. Please refer to Form 424B3 — Prospectus [Rule 424(b)(3)] filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 23, 2012, for additional information about the proposed merger.

The Board of Kentucky First Federal Bancorp would like to recognize our employees who are working hard every day to maximize the value of your investment:

### First Federal Savings & Loan of Hazard

Deborah C. Bersaglia, Vice President/
Secretary

Holly Caudill, Customer Service

Jaime S. Coffey, Assistant Vice President/
Loan Officer

Sandra C. Craft, Vice President/Loan Officer
Lou Ella R. Farler, Executive Vice President
Deloris S. Justice, Assistant Vice President
Kaye Craft, Vice President/Treasurer
Brenda W. Lovelace, Assistant Vice President
Margaret P. Pelley, Customer Service
Peggy H. Steele, Assistant Vice President/IT
Susie W. Steele, IT
Molly Ann E. Toler, Vice President
Tony Whitaker, President/CEO

### First Federal Savings Bank of Frankfort

Wick Asbury, Vice President/Lending Brenda Baldwin, Accounting Stan Betsworth, Vice President/Lending Phyllis Bowman, Loan Servicing Lisa Brinley, Branch Manager Andrea Cline, Asst. Executive Office Coordinator Carolyn Eades, Receptionist/Customer Service Diana Eads, Customer Service Stacey Greenawalt, Vice President/Lending Tiffany Heinsohn, Customer Service Barry Holder, Branch Manager R. Clay Hulette, President/Treasurer Don D. Jennings, Chief Executive Officer Teresa K. Hulette, Executive Vice President/ Operations/Human Resources Janet Lewis, Customer Service Stephanie Lewis, Customer Service Patty Luttrell, Loan Processing/Compliance Tracey McCoun, Customer Service Kim Moore, Customer Service Manager Carolyn Mulcahy, Accounting Jeanie Murphy, Customer Service Kathy Padgett, Customer Service David Semones, Loan Processing Lee Ann Hockensmith, Executive Office Coordinator Yvonne Thornberry, Loan Processing/Servicing Nancy Watts, Loan Servicing/Insurance Processing Laurel Weeks, Customer Service

### **Kentucky First Federal Bancorp**

# First Federal Savings and Loan Association of Hazard

### First Federal Savings Bank of Frankfort

### **Board of Directors**

# Stephen G. Barker, Attorney and Executive Vice President and General Counsel to Kentucky River Properties, LLC Walter G. Ecton, Jr., Attorney and principal of Ecton, Murphy and Shannon, PLLC David R. Harrod C.P.A. and

David R. Harrod C.P.A. and principal of Harrod and Associates, P.S.C.

Don D. Jennings, President,
Kentucky First Federal Bancorp
Herman D. Regan, Jr., Retired
President of Kenvirons, Inc.
Tony Whitaker, Chairman of
Kentucky First Federal Bancorp

### **Board of Directors**

Stephen G. Barker Walter G. Ecton, Jr. Lou Ella Farler William D. Gorman, Jr. Tony Whitaker

### **Board of Directors**

C. Michael Davenport
Danny A. Garland
David R. Harrod
R. Clay Hulette
Don D. Jennings
William C. Jennings, *Chairman*William M. Johnson
Herman D. Regan, Jr.

Charles A. Cotton, III, *Director Emeritus*Frank McGrath, *Director Emeritus* 

### **Office Locations**

First Federal of Hazard Main Office 479 Main Street P.O. Box 1069 Hazard, KY 41702-1069 First Federal of Frankfort Main Office 216 West Main Street P.O. Box 535 Frankfort, KY 40602-0535 First Federal of Frankfort East Branch 1980 Versailles Road Frankfort, KY 40601

First Federal of Frankfort West Branch 1220 US 127 South Frankfort, KY 40601

### **Chairman and CEO**

Tony Whitaker (606) 436-3860 firstfederal@windstream.net

### **Investor Relations**

Don Jennings <u>djennings@ffsbfrankfort.com</u>

Clay Hulette <a href="mailto:chulette@ffsbfrankfort.com">chulette@ffsbfrankfort.com</a>

(502) 223-1638 P.O. Box 535 Frankfort, KY 40602

### **Independent Auditors**

Crowe Horwath, LLP 9600 Brownsboro Rd., Ste 400 Louisville, KY 40252

### **Special Counsel**

Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP, Suite 900 607 14<sup>th</sup> Street, NW Washington, DC 20005-2018

### **Transfer Agent and Registrar**

IST Shareholder Services 433 S Carlton Ave Wheaton, IL 60187-9904 (630) 480-0393

### **Annual Meeting**

The Annual Meeting of Share-holders will be held on November 15, 2012 at 3:30 p.m., Eastern Time, at the First Federal Center on the campus of Hazard Community and Technical College, One Community College Blvd, Hazard, KY

Shareholder Inquiries and Availability of 10-K Report: A COPY OF THE COMPANY'S ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012, AS FILED WITH THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WILL BE FURNISHED WITHOUT CHARGE TO SHAREHOLDERS AS OF THE RECORD DATE FOR THE NOVEMBER 15, 2012 ANNUAL MEETING UPON WRITTEN REQUEST TO:

INVESTOR RELATIONS KENTUCKY FIRST FEDERAL BANCORP P.O. BOX 535 FRANKFORT, KY 40602